arXiv:1608.01186v2 [math.AG] 4 Aug 2016

STABLE RATIONALITY OF ORBIFOLD FANO THREEFOLD HYPERSURFACES

TAKUZO OKADA

Abstract. We determine the rationality of very general quasismooth Fano 3-fold weighted hypersurfaces completely and determine the stable rationality of them except for cubic 3-folds. More precisely we prove that (i) very general Fano 3-fold weighted hypersurfaces of index 1 or 2 are not stably rational except possibly for the cubic threefolds, (ii) among the 27 families of Fano 3-fold weighted hypersurfaces of index greater than 2, very general members of specific 7 families are not stably rational and the remaining 20 families consists of rational varieties.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study (stable) rationality of orbifold Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces by the combination of the specialization argument of universal CH_0-triviality by Colliot-Thélène and Pirutka and the reduction modulo p argument by Kollár. The combination of these two arguments is firstly applied by Totaro [21] in the proof of stable non-rationality of hypersurfaces. By an *orbifold Fano* 3*-fold hypersurface* (which will be occasionally abbreviated as an *orbifold Fano* 3*-fold*), we mean a Fano 3-fold with at most terminal singularities embedded in a weighted projective 4-space as a well formed and quasi-smooth hypersurface. There are 130 families of orbifold Fano 3-folds (see [14], [2], [3]). Among them, 95 families consist of index 1 Fano 3-folds. An orbifold Fano 3-fold is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, has Picard number 1 and has only isolated cyclic quotient (terminal) singularities, hence it appears as an outcome of minimal model program of a suitable rationally connected 3-fold. It is thus important to understand its birational properties such as (stable) rationality.

We explain known results on (stable) rationality for orbifold Fano 3-folds.

Rationality questions for orbifold Fano 3-folds of index 1 are settled in [15, 9, 5] where it is proved that they are birationally rigid, and in particular nonrational. Among them there are quite a few varieties whose stable non-rationality is known, namely, a very general quartic 3-fold [5] and a very general hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,3)$, which is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along a very general hypersurface of degree 6 [1].

Rationality questions for orbifold Fano 3-folds of index greater than 1 have not been settled yet. It is well known that cubic 3-folds are not rational [6]. Stable non-rationality is known for a very general hypersurface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$, which is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along a very general hypersurface of degree 4 [23], and for a very general hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ [13]. The above varieties are all nonsingular Fano 3-folds. In [18], rationality questions for weighted hypersurfaces are studied and it is in particular proved that there are two families of singular orbifold Fano 3-folds of index > 1 whose very general members are not rational.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E08 ; Secondary 14J45, 14J70.

We state the main theorem of this paper, which completely settles rationality questions for very general orbifold Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces, and also settles stable rationality questions for them except for cubic 3-folds.

Theorem 1.1. (1) *A very general orbifold Fano* 3*-fold hypersurface of index* 1 *is not stably rational.*
(2) *A very general orbifold Fano* 3*-fold of index* 2 *is not stably rational except possibly for cubic* 3*-folds.*
(3) *Among the* 27 *families of Fano* 3*-fold weighted hypersurfaces of index greater than* 2*,* 20 *families consist of rational varieties and a very general member of the remaining* 7 *families is not stably rational* (*see* Table 1).

We can re-state Theorem 1.1 in the following way, which gives a simple characterization of (stable) rationality of very general orbifold Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces in terms of weights of the ambient space and the degree of the hypersurface.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $X = X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$*,* $a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_4$*, be a very general orbifold Fano* 3*-fold hypersurface of degree* d*. Then the following are equivalent.*
(1) *Either* $d < 2a_4$ *or* $d = 2a_4 = 2a_3$*.*
(2) X *is rational.*

Moreover, if X *is not a cubic* 3*-fold, then the above* 2 *conditions are equivalent to the following.*
(3) X *is stably rational.*

TABLE 1. (Stable) Rationality of orbifold Fano 3-folds of index > 1: In the column "Rat", the signs $+$, $-$ and $--$ mean that a very general member is rational, not rational and not stably rational, respectively. The column "Ind" indicates the index of members of the family.

No.	$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$	Rat	Ind	No.	$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$	Rat	Ind
96	$X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,1)$	$-$	2	113	$X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,2,3)$	$+$	5
97	$X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$	$--$	2	114	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3,4)$	$+$	5
98	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$	$--$	2	115	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,2,3,3)$	$+$	5
99	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3,5)$	$--$	2	116	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$	$--$	5
100	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,9)$	$--$	2	117	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,5,7)$	$--$	5
101	$X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,7,11)$	$--$	2	118	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3,5)$	$+$	6
102	$X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,7,13)$	$--$	2	119	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,2,3,5)$	$+$	7
103	$X_{38} \subset \mathbb{P}(2,3,5,11,19)$	$--$	2	120	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,3,4)$	$+$	7
104	$X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,1)$	$+$	3	121	$X_8 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$	$+$	7
105	$X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$	$+$	3	122	$X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5,7)$	$--$	7
106	$X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,2)$	$+$	3	123	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,3,5)$	$+$	8
107	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,2,3)$	$--$	3	124	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,7)$	$+$	8
108	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$	$--$	3	125	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,5,7)$	$+$	8
109	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,7)$	$--$	3	126	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,5)$	$+$	9
110	$X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,5,7,8)$	$--$	3	127	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5,7)$	$+$	9
111	$X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$	$+$	4	128	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,5,6,7)$	$+$	11
112	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3,3)$	$+$	4	129	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5,7)$	$+$	11
				130	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(3,4,5,6,7)$	$+$	13

Note that the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is easy (see Section 6) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is trivial. The main result of this paper is to prove the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) holds true in any dimension: for a general orbifold Fano hypersurface $X = X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_{n+1})$, $a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n+1}$, of degree d, if either $d < 2a_{n+1}$ or $d = 2a_{n+1} = 2a_n$, then X is rational. The following question arises naturally.

Question 1.3. *Let $X = X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_{n+1})$, $a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n+1}$, be a very general orbifold Fano hypersurface of degree d and dimension $n \geq 3$. Is there any X which is rational but satisfies neither $d < 2a_{n+1}$ nor $d = 2a_n = 2a_{n+1}$? Moreover is there any X which is stably rational but not rational?*

We explain a rough sketch of the proof of main theorems and then the organization of the paper. To each family of orbifold Fano 3-folds which do not satisfy (1) of Theorem 1.2, we construct a subfamily whose members admit a cyclic covering structure over a weighted hypersurface. We then consider the subfamily over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, where p is a prime number dividing the covering degree, so that a member X of the subfamily is an inseparable covering of a weighted hypersurface. By the Kollár's argument, we can prove that there exists a non-zero global differential 2-form η on X (which is regular on the smooth locus of X). The next task is to construct a resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ of singularities of X satisfying good properties. Here good properties mean that φ is universally CH_0-trivial and $\varphi^*\eta$ is a regular form on Y. The latter implies that Y is not universally CH_0-trivial. Now we lift X to an orbifold Fano 3-fold over $\mathbb{C}$, and, by the specialization property of universal CH_0-triviality, we can conclude that a very general member of the considered family is not stably rational.

In Section 2, we explain in detail that the existence of the above mentioned subfamily indeed implies the stable non-rationality of a very general member of the family. In Section 3, we consider weighted hypersurfaces X admitting an inseparable cyclic covering structure over a weighted hypersurface Z and give a condition for X to admit a resolution of singularities $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ satisfying good properties. The most important condition is the mildness of singularities of X, and thus we need to control them. We study singularities of X in terms of quasi-smoothness of X along a suitable stratum of the ambient space and in terms of critical points of the section defining the branched divisor of the covering $X \to Z$. In Section 4, we give quasi-smoothness criteria for weighted hypersurfaces in positive characteristic and in Section 5 we give a criterion for a suitable section on a weighted hypersurface to have only mild critical points. In Section 6 we consider rationality of orbifold Fano 3-folds. In Section 7 we apply criteria in Sections 4 and 5 for orbifold Fano 3-folds and show that the condition given in Section 3 is satisfied, which will complete the proof of stable non-rationality by the result of Section 2. Finally, in Section 7, we exhibit an example of stably non-rational orbifold Fano 3-fold obtained in this paper and show that the rationality criterion [4, Theorem 1.8] in terms of absolute complexity is sharp.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Ivan Cheltsov for having interest on this work. The author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26800019.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Universal CH_0-triviality.** We explain the definition and basic properties of universally CH_0-triviality. For a variety X, we denote by $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ the Chow group of 0-cycles on X, which is by definition the free abelian group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence.

Definition 2.1. (1) A projective variety X defined over a field k is *universally* CH_0*-trivial* if for any field F containing k, the degree map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X_F) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.

(2) A projective morphism $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ defined over a field k is *universally* CH_0*-trivial* if for any field F containing k, the push-forward map $\varphi_*\colon \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_F) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X_F)$ is an isomorphism.

We apply the following specialization arguments to orbifold Fano 3-folds.

Lemma 2.2 ([7, Lemma 1.5])**.** *If X is a smooth, projective, stably rational variety, then X is universally* CH_0*-trivial.*

Theorem 2.3 ([7, Théorème 1.14])**.** *Let A be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field k, with k algebraically closed. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a flat proper scheme over A with geometrically integral fibers. Let X be the generic fiber $\mathcal{X} \times_A K$ and Y the special fiber $\mathcal{X} \times_A k$. Assume that Y admits a universally* CH_0*-trivial resolution $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ of singularities. Let $\overline{K}$ be an algebraic closure of K and assume that the geometric generic fiber $X_{\overline{K}}$ admits a universally* CH_0*-trivial resolution $\tilde{X} \to X_{\overline{K}}$. If $\tilde{X}$ is universally* CH_0*-trivial, then so is $\tilde{Y}$.*

The following is a criterion for universally CH_0-non-triviality.

Lemma 2.4 ([21, Lemma 2.2])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k. If $H^0(X, \Omega_X^i) \neq 0$ for some $i > 0$, then X is not universally* CH_0*-trivial.*

2.2. **Framework of proof.** Let $a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}, d$ be positive integers and $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1})$ the weighted projective space with homogeneous coordinates $x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}$. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the family of weighted hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1})$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. Here $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{Z}}$ parametrizes the polynomials of degree d with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and in variables $x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}$. For a field or a ring R, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_R \to \mathbb{P}^M_R$ the base change of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which the family of weighted hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1})$ defined over R.

Our aim is to construct a (locally closed) subspace $T \cong \mathbb{A}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $0 < N \leq M$, satisfying the following condition. For a field k, we define $T_k = T \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} k \subset \mathbb{P}^M_k$.

Condition 2.5. (1) A general member of the subfamily parametrized by $T_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is quasi-smooth and has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities.

(2) There exists an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of positive characteristic p such that $T^{\mathrm{indep}}_{\Bbbk} \neq \emptyset$ (see Definition 2.6 below) and a very general member X' of the subfamily parametrized by $T_{\Bbbk} \subset \mathbb{P}^M_{\Bbbk}$ has only isolated singular points and admits a resolution $\varphi'\colon Y' \to X'$ of singularities with the following properties:

(a) φ' is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of X' and the exceptional divisor of φ' is a simple normal crossing (abbreviated as SNC) divisor whose components are smooth rational varieties.

(b) $H^0(Y', \Omega^{n-1}_{Y'}) \neq 0$.

Definition 2.6. For a field k, we define T_k^{indep} to be the subset of $T_k = \mathbb{A}_k^N$ consisting of the point $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{A}_k^N$ such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ are algebraically independent over the prime field of k.

Example 2.7. We explain by an example that what kind of T we will consider. Let us consider weighted hypersurfaces of degree 9 in $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,3,4)$. Let x, y, z, w, t be the homogeneous coordinates of weight $1, 1, 1, 3, 4$, respectively. The polynomials (up to a multiple of non-zero constant) in x, y, z, w, t of degree 9 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ can be parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^{102}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, so that we have a family $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^{102}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of weighted hypersurfaces of degree 9 in $\mathbb{P}$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. We consider the subfamily consisting of hypersurfaces defined by an equation of the form

$$w^3 + f_9(x, y, z, t) = 0.$$

Let T be the affine space parametrizing degree 9 polynomials $f_9(x, y, z, t)$ in variables x, y, z, t. We see that $T \cong \mathbb{A}^{94}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and we can naturally embed $T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{102}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ so that the fiber of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ over points of T are hypersurfaces defined by $w^3 + f_9 = 0$. The members parametrized by T are cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,3)$ branched along a divisor of degree 9.

The most crucial condition is (2) whose verifications for orbifold Fano 3-folds will be done in Section 7. In this section, we explain that the existence of T satisfying Condition 2.5 implies that a very general member of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not stably rational. Although the arguments below may be well known to experts, we include them for readers' convenience.

We keep the above setting and let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} T \to T$ be the subfamily of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p as in Condition 2.5.(2).

Remark 2.8.
(1) By Condition 2.5.(1), a general member of the family $\mathcal{X}_k \to \mathbb{P}^M_k$ is quasi-smooth and has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities for any algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0.
(2) An isolated cyclic quotient singularity (defined over an algebraically closed field) admits a resolution of singularities whose exceptional divisor is a simple normal crossing divisor and each component is a nonsingular rational (toric) variety (see [10, Theorem 11.2.2]).
(3) By [7, Proposition 1.8] and [8, Lemma 2.4], a resolution $\varphi' \colon Y' \to X'$ whose exceptional divisor is a SNC divisor with smooth rational components is universally CH_0-trivial.

Note that the set $T_k \setminus T_k^{\mathrm{indep}}$ is a countable union of divisors and hence T_k^{indep} is non-empty if k is uncountable.

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a very general member of the family $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}} \to T_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there exists a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $Y \to X$ of singularities such that Y is not universally CH_0-trivial.*

Proof. We may assume that $\Bbbk$ is countable. Indeed, we can take finitely many elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Bbbk$ such that, for $k = \mathbb{F}_p(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \subset \Bbbk$, every objects appearing in Condition 2.5.(2) (X', $\varphi' \colon Y' \to X'$, etc.) can be defined over the algebraic closure $\bar{k} \subset \Bbbk$ and $T_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{indep}} \neq \emptyset$. Replacing $\Bbbk$ with $\bar{k}$, we may assume that $\Bbbk$ is countable.

Let $R = W(\Bbbk)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over $\Bbbk$, which is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field is $\Bbbk$ and the quotient field K is of characteristic 0. Since $R = \Bbbk \oplus \Bbbk \oplus \cdots$ set-theoretically, its quotient field K is countable. This implies that there is an embedding $\iota_0 \colon \bar{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\bar{K}$ is a fixed algebraic closure of K.

Let X be a very general member of the family $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}} \to T_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $P = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in T_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{indep}}$ the corresponding point. We choose and fix a point $P' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_N) \in T_{\Bbbk}^{\mathrm{indep}}$ and let X' be the corresponding member of $\mathcal{Y}_{\Bbbk} \to T_{\Bbbk}$. For each i, we choose and fix a lift $a_i \in R$ of α'_i via $R \to \Bbbk$. Let V be the fiber of $\mathcal{X}_R \to T_R$ over the R-point $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in T_R$. Note that V is a projective scheme over R whose special fiber $V_{\Bbbk}$ is isomorphic to X'. By Condition 2.5.(2) (and see also Remark 2.8), $V_{\Bbbk} \cong X'$ admits a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $\varphi' \colon Y' \to X'$. Moreover Y' is not universally CH_0-trivial by Lemma 2.4. Since the α'_i are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$, the $a_i \in K$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. It follows that the geometric generic fiber $V_{\bar{K}}$ is a very general member of the family $\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{K}} \to T_{\overline{K}}$. In particular it is quasi-smooth and has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities. Thus there exists a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $\tilde{V}_{\bar{K}} \to V_{\bar{K}}$ of singularities (see Remark 2.8). Hence, by Theorem 2.3, $\tilde{V}_{\bar{K}}$ is not universally CH_0-trivial. Now we can choose an automorphism $\tau \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which maps $\iota_0(a_i)$ to α_i. We set $\iota = \tau \circ \iota_0 \colon \bar{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the base change via $\iota \colon K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the generic fiber of $V \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is isomorphic to X and the base change $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong X$ via ι of the resolution $\tilde{V}_{\bar{K}} \to V_{\bar{K}}$ gives a universally CH_0-trivial resolution of X. The proof is completed since $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not universally CH_0-trivial. □

Lemma 2.10. *A very general member of the family $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not stably rational.*

Proof. We will show that the geometric generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}}$ of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not stably rational, where $\bar{K}$ is an algebraic closure of the function field of $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume to the contrary that $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}}$ is stably rational. By [22, Lemma 2.1], a very general member of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}}$ (as an abstract scheme). It follows that there exists a subset Σ of $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is a countable union of proper closed subsets of $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the fiber over a point of $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Sigma$ is stably rational. By Lemma 2.9, a very general member X of $\mathcal{Y} \to T_{\mathbb{C}}$ admits a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $Y \to X$ of singularities such that Y is not universally CH_0-trivial. Let $P \in T_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the point corresponding to X. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a nonsingular curve such that $P \in C$ and $C \not\subset \Sigma$. We can indeed take such a curve C by choosing any point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Sigma$ and successively cutting down $\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ by general hyperplanes passing through P and Q. Note that, again by [22, Lemma 2.1], the geometric generic fiber of $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}(C)}}$ of $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}} C \to C$ admits a universally CH_0-trivial resolution of singularities. It follows that $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}(C)}}$ is not stably rational. This implies that a very general member parametrized by C is not stably rational. This is a contradiction since $C \not\subset \Sigma$. Therefore $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}}$ is not stably rational and thus a very general member of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not stably rational. □

3. General construction of a good resolution

3.1. **Cyclic covers and admissible critical points.** We briefly recall Kollár's construction of a suitable line bundle on an inseparable cyclic covering space and then give definition of critical points (see [17, Section V.5] for details).

Let Z be a smooth variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p, $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on Z, m a positive integer and $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^m)$ a global section. Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be the cyclic cover of degree m branched along the zero locus $(s = 0) \subset Z$. Throughout the present section, we assume that $p \mid m$ and that the branched divisor $(s = 0)$ is reduced. In this setting, there is a line bundle on $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s)$ on Z such that $\pi^*\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s) \subset (\Omega_X^{n-1})^{\vee\vee}$, where $(\Omega_X^{n-1})^{\vee\vee}$ denotes the double dual of Ω_X^{n-1}, and $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s) \cong \omega_Z \otimes \mathcal{L}^m$.

Singularities of X can be understood by critical points of s. Let $\mathsf{q} \in Z$ be a point, $x_1, \dots, x_n$ local coordinates of Z at q and τ a local generator of $\mathcal{L}$ at q. Then, locally around q, we can write $s = f\tau^m$, where $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}$.

Definition 3.1. We say that s has a *critical point* at q if $\partial f/\partial x_1 = \cdots = \partial f/\partial x_n = 0$ at q.

Note that the above definition does not depend on the choice of a local generator τ and local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. We have

$$\operatorname{Sing} X = \pi^{-1}(\{\text{critical point of } s\}).$$

We give a definition of admissible critical point of s, which ensures some mildness of singularities of X. The following definition is complicated and we refer readers to [20, Section 3.3] for details.

Definition 3.2. We say that $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})$ has an *admissible critical point* at $\mathsf{q} \in Z$ if in a local expression $s = f\tau^m$, f satisfies one of the following:

(1) Either n is even or n is odd and $p \neq 2$, and the quadratic part of f is nondegenerate.
(2) n is odd, $p = 2$, $m = 2$ and $\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}/(\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_n) = 2$.
(3) n is odd, $p = 2$, $m \neq 2$, $2^2 \nmid m$, $\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}/(\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_n) = 2$ and s does not vanish at q.
(4) n is odd, $p = 2$, $m \neq 2$, $2^2 \nmid m$, $\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}/(\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_n) = 2$, s vanishes at q and the quadratic part of f is nondegenerate.
(5) n is odd, $p = 2$, $2^2 \mid m$, $\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}/(\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_n) = 2$ and the quadratic part of f is nondegenerate.

Note that the above definition does not depend on the choice of τ and $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Remark 3.3. Suppose that n is odd and $p = 2$. In this case, by [17, Section V.5], the condition $\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}/(\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_n) = 2$ is satisfied if and only if in a suitable choice of local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$, f can be written as

$$f = \alpha + \beta x_1^2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n + \gamma x_1^3 + g(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Bbbk$ with $\gamma \neq 0$ and g is a linear combination of monomials of degree at least 3 other than x_1^3. Under the above choice of coordinates, f is nondegenerate if and only if $\beta \neq 0$.

3.2. **Construction.** Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n, b)$ be a weighted projective space defined over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of positive characteristic p with homogeneous coordinates $x_0, \dots, x_n$ and w of weight $a_0, \dots, a_n$ and b, respectively. Let m be a positive integer divisible by p. Let X be a weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_n, w^m) = 0.$$

We define Z to be the weighted hypersurface defined by $f(x_0,\dots,x_n,\bar{w})=0$ in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_n,mb)$ of coordinates $x_0,\dots,x_n$ and $\bar{w}$ and let $\pi\colon X\to Z$ be the morphism defined by $\pi^*\bar{w}=w^m$. We define $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_Z(b)$. Then, $\bar{w}$ is a global section of $(\mathcal{L}^m)^{\vee\vee}\cong\mathcal{O}_Z(mb)$. We set $a_{\mathrm{sum}}=\sum_{i=0}^n a_i$. We introduce the following condition on X and Z.

Condition 3.4. (1) Z is well-formed and normal.
(2) There exists a non-empty smooth open subset $Z^\circ\subset Z$ such that the section $\bar{w}$ has only admissible critical points on Z° and X has at most isolated cyclic quotient singular points along $X\setminus\pi^{-1}(Z^\circ)$.
(3) $n\geq 3$.
(4) $d-a_{\mathrm{sum}}\geq 0$ and $H^0(Z,\mathcal{O}_Z(d-a_{\mathrm{sum}}))\neq 0$.

Proposition 3.5. *If X satisfies* Condition 3.4*, then there exists a resolution $\varphi\colon Y\to X$ of singularities of X such that the exceptional divisor is a SNC divisor with smooth rational components and $H^0(Y,\Omega_Y^{n-1})\neq 0$.*

Proof. Let V be the smooth locus of Z and set $U=\pi^{-1}(V)\subset X$. By [17, Section V.5], there exists a sub line bundle $\mathcal{M}_U:=\pi^*\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}|_V,\bar{w})$ of $(\Omega_U^{n-1})^{\vee\vee}$. Condition 3.4.(1) implies that $\omega_Z\cong\mathcal{O}_Z(d-a_{\mathrm{sum}}-mb)$ and Condition 3.4.(2) in particular implies that the branched divisor $(s=0)\subset Z$ is reduced. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_U\cong\pi^*(\omega_V\otimes(\mathcal{L}|_V)^{\otimes m})\cong\mathcal{O}_U\left(d-a_{\mathrm{sum}}\right).$$

We define $\mathcal{M}\subset(\Omega_X^{n-1})^{\vee\vee}$ to be the pushforward of $\mathcal{M}_U$ by the injection $U\hookrightarrow X$. Note that $\mathcal{M}\cong\mathcal{O}_X(d-a_{\mathrm{sum}})$ and it is a reflexive sheaf of rank 1 (which may not be an invertible sheaf in general).

Let t be any global section of $\mathcal{M}\cong\mathcal{O}_Z(d-a_{\mathrm{sum}})$, which exists by Condition 3.4.(4). We have an injection $\mathcal{O}_X\hookrightarrow\mathcal{M}$, which is a multiplication by t, and let $\mathcal{N}\cong\mathcal{O}_X$ be its image.

Note that s does not have a critical point at $V\setminus Z^\circ$ because otherwise X has a non-quotient singular point along $X\setminus\pi^{-1}(Z^\circ)$ which is impossible by Condition 3.4.(2). It follows that s has only admissible critical points on V. Thus, by Conditions 3.4.(3) and [20, Proposition 4.1], there exists a resolution $\varphi_U\colon Y_U\to U$ of singularities of U such that the exceptional divisor is a SNC divisor with smooth rational components and ${\varphi_U}^*(\mathcal{M}|_U)\hookrightarrow\Omega_{Y_U}^{n-1}$. This implies that $\varphi_U^*(\mathcal{N}|_U)\hookrightarrow\Omega_Y^{n-1}$. Let $\varphi\colon Y\to X$ be a resolution such that φ coincides φ_U over U and φ is a toric resolution of singularities of isolated cyclic quotient singular points on $X\setminus X^\circ$ such that the fiber of φ over any cyclic quotient singular point is a SNC divisor whose component is a nonsingular toric variety. By Lemma 3.7 below (see also Remark 3.8), we conclude that $\mathcal{O}_Y\cong\varphi^*\mathcal{N}\hookrightarrow\Omega_Y^{n-1}$. Therefore $H^0(Y,\Omega_Y^{n-1})\neq 0$. □

3.3. **Lifting lemma for differential forms on toric varieties.** In this subsection, we prove that the pullback via a toric resolution of a differential j-form on a toric variety is a regular j-form.

We recall necessary definitions of toric varieties and we refer readers to [11, Section 4] for details. Let M be an n-dimensional lattice and $\sigma\subset\mathsf{M}$ a convex rational polyhedral cone generating $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathsf{M}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$. Let k be a field and we set $A=k[\sigma\cap\mathsf{M}]$, $X=\operatorname{Spec}A$. For $m\in\sigma\cap\mathsf{M}$, we denote by $\chi^m\in A$ the corresponding monomial, and by $\Gamma_\sigma(m)$ the smallest face of σ containing m.

We set $V = \mathsf{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$. For a face $\tau \subset \sigma$, we define a subspace $V_\tau \subset V$ as follow: if τ is of codimension one, then we define

$$V_\tau = (\mathsf{M} \cap (\tau - \tau)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$$

and in general we define

$$V_\tau = \bigcap_{\theta \supset \tau} V_\theta,$$

where θ ranges over the faces of τ of codimension 1 containing τ. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we define

$$\Omega^j_\sigma = \bigoplus_{m \in \sigma \cap \mathsf{M}} \bigwedge^j (V_{\Gamma(m)}) \cdot \chi^m,$$

which is a M-graded k-vector space. It is easy to see that Ω^j_σ is naturally embedded into the A-module $(\bigwedge^j V) \otimes_k A$ and thus equipped with the structure of an M-graded A-module.

Proposition 3.6 (Proposition 4.3, [11])**.** *The sheaf $(\Omega^j_X)^{\vee\vee}$ is isomorphic to the sheaf associated with the A-module Ω^j_σ.*

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a toric variety over an algebraically closed field k and $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ a toric resolution of singularities of X. Then there is a homomorphism $\varphi^*((\Omega^j_X)^{\vee\vee}) \to \Omega^j_Y$ factoring $\varphi^*\Omega^j_X \to \Omega^j_Y$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, \dim X$.*

Proof. A toric resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ is obtained by subdividing the fan (in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathsf{M}, \mathbb{Z})$) which defines X. We may assume that both X and Y are affine toric varieties since this is a local problem.

Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $A = k[\sigma \cap \mathsf{M}]$, where M is a lattice and σ is a cone in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ generating $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then we may assume that $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k[\sigma' \cap \mathsf{M}]$, where σ' is a cone in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\sigma' \supset \sigma$. It suffices to show that $V_{\Gamma_\sigma(m)} \subset V_{\Gamma_{\sigma'}(m)}$ for every $m \in \sigma \cap \mathsf{M}$. Indeed, then, there is a natural homomorphism of $A' = k[\sigma' \cap \mathsf{M}]$-modules

$$\Omega^j_\sigma \otimes_A A' \to \Omega^j_{\sigma'},$$

which, together with Proposition 3.6 shows that there is a homomorphism $\varphi^*((\Omega^j_X)^{\vee\vee}) \to \Omega^j_Y$ factoring $\varphi^*\Omega^j_X \to \Omega^j_Y$.

First, suppose that m is contained contained in the interior of $\sigma \cap \mathsf{M}$. Then, m is contained in the interior of $\sigma' \cap \mathsf{M}$. In this case we have $V_{\Gamma_\sigma(m)} = V_{\Gamma_{\sigma'}(m)}$ and they coincide with $V = \mathsf{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$. Suppose next that m is contained in the boundary of $\sigma \cap \mathsf{M}$. Let τ be a codimension one face of σ which contains m. If τ is not contained in a face of σ' then m is contained in the interior of $\sigma' \cap \mathsf{M}$. Now recall that $V_{\Gamma_\sigma(m)}$ is the intersection of V_τ, where τ runs over the codimension one faces of σ which contain m. Therefore we have $V_{\Gamma_\sigma(m)} \subset V_{\Gamma_{\sigma'}(m)}$, and the proof is completed. □

Remark 3.8. Let $x \in X$ be a germ of an isolated toric singularity and let $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ be a toric resolution of $x \in X$. Lemma 3.7 implies that the pullback via φ of any differential j-form $\eta \in (\Omega^j_X)^{\vee\vee}$, viewed as a rational j-form, is a regular j-form on Y. In particular, for a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \subset (\Omega^j_X)^{\vee\vee}$, we have $\varphi^*\mathcal{L} \subset \Omega^j_Y$.

4. Quasi-smoothness in positive characteristic

A simple characterization of quasi-smoothness of weighted complete intersections defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is given by Iano-Fletcher [14] (see also [19] for a slight generalization), which is based on Bertini theorem. The aim of this section is to give a quasi-smoothness criterion for weighted hypersurfaces in positive characteristics. Although our argument is technically involved, it is primitive and avoids the use of Bertini theorem.

We introduce basic definitions. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ be a weighted projective space defined over an algebraically closed field k with homogeneous coordinates $x_0, \dots, x_n$ of weight $a_0, \dots, a_n$, respectively. We always assume that $\mathbb{P}$ is well-formed, that is,

$$\gcd(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n) = 1$$

for any i.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}$ and $\tau\colon \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{o\} \to \mathbb{P}$ the natural projection. We say that X is *quasi-smooth* if the affine cone $C_X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ of X, which is the closure of $\tau^{-1}(X)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, is smooth outside the origin o. For a non-empty subset $S \subset \mathbb{P}$, we say that X is *quasi-smooth along* S if C_X is smooth along $\overline{\tau^{-1}(S)} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$.

Remark 4.2. We note that for a quasi-smooth weighted complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_l)$ of dimension at least 3, the Weil divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and is generated by a divisor (class) A such that $\mathcal{O}_X(A) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Indeed, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathrm{Cl}(X) \to \mathrm{Cl}(R) \to 0,$$

where $\theta(m) = mA$ and R is the coordinate (graded) ring of the quasi-affine cone C_X (see e.g. [24, Theorem 1.6]). Now we have $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathrm{Cl}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of the origin (see [12, Corollary 10.3]). The latter is 0 since $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a complete intersection local ring of dimension at least 4 which is regular outside the maximal ideal (see [12, Section 18]). Thus $\mathrm{Cl}(X) = \mathbb{Z}\cdot A$.

In the rest of this section we assume that the ground field is an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of positive characteristic p.

For a subset $I \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$I_{\mathrm{wt}=1} = \{\, i \in I \mid a_i = 1 \,\} \text{ and } I_{\mathrm{wt}>1} = I \setminus I_{\mathrm{wt}=1}.$$

We define

$$\mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1} = \bigcup_{i \in \{0,\dots,n\}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}} (x_i \neq 0),$$

which is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}$.

Definition 4.3. For a non-empty subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ of $\{0, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}} = \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (x_i \neq 0)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \notin I} (x_j = 0)\right) \subset \mathbb{P},$$

and call it the *coordinate stratum* of $\mathbb{P}$ with respect to I. We denote by $\Pi_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ the closure of $\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.

For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, we sometimes drop the subscript $\mathbb{P}$ and write $\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}} = \Pi^*_I$, and also we write

$$\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}} = \Pi^*_{x_{i_1},\dots,x_{i_k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{I,\mathbb{P}} = \Pi_{x_{i_1},\dots,x_{i_k}}.$$

Let $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ be a non-empty subset. For a polynomial $h \in \Bbbk[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, we define $h|_{\Pi^*_I}$ to be the polynomial in variables $\{ x_i \mid i \in I \}$ obtained by setting $x_j = 0$ in h for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus I$. For a matrix $M = (h_{ij})$ with entries $h_{ij} \in \Bbbk[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, we define $M|_{\Pi^*_I} = (h_{ij}|_{\Pi^*_I})$.

Let Λ be a set of monomials in variables $x_0, \dots, x_n$. For a ring R, we denote by $\langle \Lambda \rangle_R$ the free R-module generated by the monomials in Λ. In the following, we assume that Λ is a set of monomials of the same weighted degree. Then $\langle \Lambda \rangle_\Bbbk \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}(d))$ is a $\Bbbk$-vector space. We define $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda) \subset |\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}(d)|$ to be the linear system associated with $\langle \Lambda \rangle_\Bbbk$. For subsets $\Xi = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \subset \Lambda$ and $J = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\} \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$M_{\Xi,J} = \left(\frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial \{x_{l_1}, \dots, x_{l_k}\}} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_{l_i}} \right)_{1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le m},$$

and

$$M'_{\Xi,J} = \left(\frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial \{x_{l_1}, \dots, x_{l_k}\}} \right)' = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \cdots & g_m \\ & M_{\Xi,\{x_{l_1},\dots,x_{l_k}\}} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that, while $M_{\Xi,J}$ is not defined when $J = \emptyset$, we define $M'_{\Xi,\emptyset} := (g_1 \ \cdots \ g_m)$. We set $M_\Lambda = M_{\Lambda,\{0,\dots,n\}}$ and $M'_\Lambda = M'_{\Lambda,\{0,\dots,n\}}$. We will sometimes write $M_{\Xi,\{x_{l_1},\dots,x_{l_k}\}}$ and $M_{\Xi,\{x_{l_1},\dots,x_{l_k}\}}$ instead of $M_{\Xi,J}$ and $M'_{\Xi,J}$.

4.1. **A basic criterion.** Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ be a weighted projective space with homogeneous coordinates $x_0, \dots, x_n$ of weight $a_0, \dots, a_n$, respectively, and Λ a set of monomials in $x_0, \dots, x_n$ of weighted degree d.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ be a non-empty subset. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} M'_\Lambda(\mathsf{p}) \ge |I|$ for any point $\mathsf{p} \in \Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. Then a general member $X \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ is quasi-smooth along $\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.*

Proof. We identify $W = \langle \Lambda \rangle_\Bbbk$ with $\Bbbk^\lambda$ via the basis Λ, where $\lambda = |\Lambda|$. Then, for a point $\mathsf{p} \in \Pi^*_I$, the kernel, denoted by W_p, of the map $W \cong \Bbbk^\lambda \to \Bbbk^{n+2}$ defined by the matrix $M'_\Lambda(\mathsf{p})$ is precisely the set of polynomials $f \in W$ such that $(f = 0) \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ is not quasi-smooth at p. By the assumption $\operatorname{rank} M'_\Lambda(\mathsf{p}) \ge |I|$, the codimension of W_p in W is at least $|I|$. Then, by counting dimension keeping in mind that $\dim \Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}} = |I| - 1$, we see that a general member of $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ is quasi-smooth along $\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. □

Definition 4.5. For a non-empty subset $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$, we say that Λ satisfies condition $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ (resp. $(*)'_{I,\mathbb{P}}$) if there are a subset $\Xi \subset \Lambda$ with $|\Xi| = |I|$ and a subset $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ with $|J| = |I|$ (resp. $|J| = |I| - 1$) such that

$$\det(M_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}} \quad (\text{resp. } \det(M'_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}})$$

is a non-zero monomial. We say that Λ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ if it satisfies either $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ or $(*)'_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.

It is clear from the above definition that if Λ satisfies $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, $(*)'_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ or $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, then Λ' satisfies $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, $(*)'_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ or $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, respectively, for any set Λ' of monomials in $x_0, \dots, x_n$ containing Λ.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $I \subset \{0,\dots,n\}$ be a non-empty subset. Suppose that Λ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. Then a general member of $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ is quasi-smooth along $\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.*

Proof. Put $\Pi^* = \Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. We see that $\det(M_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*}$ and $\det(M'_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*}$ are both $k \times k$ minors of $M'_\Lambda|_{\Pi^*}$. The conditions $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ and $(*)'_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ imply that $\det(M_{\Xi,J}|_{\Pi^*})(\mathsf{p}) \neq 0$ and $\det(M'_{\Xi,J}|_{\Pi^*})(\mathsf{p}) \neq 0$ for any $\mathsf{p} \in \Pi^*$, respectively. Thus $\operatorname{rank}(M'_\Lambda(\mathsf{p})) \geq |I|$ for any $\mathsf{p} \in \Pi^*$ and the assertion follows from Lemma 4.4. □

4.2. **Quasi-smoothness of special weighted hypersurfaces I.** Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_n)$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_n,b)$ be weighted projective spaces with homogeneous coordinates $x_0,\dots,x_n$ and $x_0,\dots,x_n,w$ of weight $a_0,\dots,a_n$ and $a_0,\dots,a_n,b$, respectively. Let d be a positive integer divisible by b and we set $m = d/b$. We assume that m is divisible by p. The aim of this subsection is to make the quasi-smoothness criterion Lemma 4.6 simpler for a general weighted hypersurface in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by an equation of the form $w^m + f(x_0,\dots,x_n) = 0$. Let Λ be a set of monomials of weighted degree $d = mb$ in variables $x_0,\dots,x_n$. Note that Λ does not contain a monomial involving w. Note also that we think of w as the $(n+1)$th coordinate x_{n+1}, so that, for example, we have

$$\Pi^*_{\{0,\dots,n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} = \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{n}(x_i \neq 0)\right) \cap (w \neq 0).$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $I \subset \{0,\dots,n\}$ be a non-empty subset. If Λ satisfies $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, then $\Lambda \cup \{w^m\}$ satisfies both $(\dagger)_{I,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ and $(\dagger)_{I\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$.*

Proof. By the assumption, there are subsets $\Xi \subset \Lambda$ and $J \subset \{0,\dots,n\}$ such that $|\Xi| = |J| = |I|$ and $\det(M_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}$ is a non-zero monomial. It is obvious that $\Lambda \cup \{w^m\}$ satisfies $(*)_{I,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ and hence $(\dagger)_{I,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$. Since m is divisible by p, we have

$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi\cup\{n+1\},J}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{I\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}} = \pm w^m \det\left(M_{\Xi,J}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}.$$

This shows that $\Lambda \cup \{w^m\}$ satisfies $(*)'_{I\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ and hence $(\dagger)_{I\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $I \subset \{0,\dots,n\}$ be a non-empty subset. If Λ satisfies $(*)_{I',\mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset $I' \subset I$, then the weighted hypersurface in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by $w^m + f = 0$ is quasi-smooth along $\Pi_{I\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ for a general $f \in \langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\Pi_{I\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} = \left(\bigcup_{I'\subset I}\Pi^*_{I',\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{I'\subset I}\Pi^*_{I'\cup\{n+1\},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\right).$$

It follows from Lemmas 4.7 and 4.6 that a general member of $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda\cup\{w^m\})$ is quasi-smooth along $\Pi_{I,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$, and the proof is completed. □

The following gives an easy criterion for the condition $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for $I \subset \{0,\dots,n\}$ with $|I| \leq 2$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let Λ be a set of monomials of degree d in variables $x_0,\dots,x_n$.*

(1) *For $i \in \{0,\dots,n\}$, Λ satisfies $(*)_{\{i\},\mathbb{P}}$ if and only if ether $x_i^k \in \Lambda$ for some k with $p \nmid k$ or $x_i^l x_j$ for some $j \neq i$ and l.*

(2) *For distinct* $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, Λ *satisfies* $(*)_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$ *if one of the following holds.*
   (a) $x_{i_1}^{k_1}, x_{i_2}^{k_2} \in \Lambda$ *for some* k_1, k_2 *such that* $p \nmid k_1 k_2$.
   (b) $x_{i_1}^{l} x_j, x_{i_2}^{k} \in \Lambda$ *for some* l, k *such that* $p \nmid k$ *and* $j \notin \{i_1, i_2\}$.
   (c) $x_{i_1}^{l_1} x_{j_1}, x_{i_2}^{l_2} x_{j_2} \in \Lambda$ *for some* l_1, l_2 *and distinct* $j_1, j_2 \notin \{i_1, i_2\}$.

Proof. It is easy to prove (1) and we leave it to readers. We prove (2). Let Ξ be the set of the 2 monomials given in (a), (b) or (c) and we set $\Pi^* = \Pi^*_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$. Then we have

$$\det\left(M_{\Xi,\{x_{i_1},x_{i_2}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = k_1 k_2 x_{i_1}^{k_1-1} x_{i_2}^{k_2-1} \quad \text{(in case (a))},$$
$$\det\left(M_{\Xi,\{x_j,x_{i_2}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = k x_{i_1}^{l} x_{i_2}^{k-1} \quad \text{(in case (b))},$$
$$\det\left(M_{\Xi,\{x_{j_1},x_{j_2}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = x_{i_1}^{l_1} x_{i_2}^{l_2} \quad \text{(in case (c))}.$$

Thus, any of the conditions (a), (b) and (c) implies $(*)_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$. □

4.3. **Quasi-smoothness of special weighted hypersurfaces II.** In this subsection, let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n, c)$ be a weighted projective space with homogeneous coordinates $x_0, \dots, x_n$ and v of weight $a_0, \dots, a_n$ and c. Let d be a positive integer such that $d = cm + a_k$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $m \geq 1$. We fix such k. The aim of this subsection is to make the quasi-smoothness criterion Lemma 4.6 simpler for a general weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by an equation of the form $v^m x_k + f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Let Λ be a set of monomials of weighted degree d in variables $x_0, \dots, x_n$.

Definition 4.10. Let $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ be a non-empty subset. We say that Λ satisfies $(\star)^k_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ if either there are subsets $\Xi \subset \Lambda$ and $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ with $|\Xi| = |I|$ and $|J| = |I|$ such that

$$\det(M_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}$$

is a non-zero monomial or there are subsets $\Xi' \subset \Lambda$ and $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ with $|\Xi'| = |I| - 1$ and $|J| = |I|$ such that

$$\det(M'_{\Xi',J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}$$

is a non-zero monomial.

We drop the superscript k from $(\star)^k_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ and denote it by $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. It is clear that if Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, then it satisfies $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.

Lemma 4.11. *If* Λ *satisfies* $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ *for a non-empty subset* $I \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$, *then* $\{v^m x_k\} \cup \Lambda$ *satisfies both* $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ *and* $(\dagger)_{I\cup\{n+1\},\mathbb{P}}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\{v^m x_k\} \cup \Lambda$ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. Suppose that there are subsets $\Xi \subset \Lambda$ and $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ such that $|\Xi| = |I|$, $|J| = |I|$ and $\det(M_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}$ is a non-zero monomial. Then we have

$$\det\left(M_{\{vx_k\}\cup\Xi,J\cup\{k\}}\right)|_{\tilde{\Pi}^*_{I\cup\{n+1\},\mathbb{P}}} = \pm v^m \det\left(M_{\Xi,J}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}},$$

which shows that $\{v^m x_k\} \cup \Lambda$ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I\cup\{n+1\},\mathbb{P}}$. Suppose that there are subsets $\Xi' \subset \Lambda$ and $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$ such that $|\Xi'| = |I| - 1$, $|J| = |I|$ and $\det(M'_{\Xi',J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}$

is a non-zero monomial. Then we have

$$\det\left(M'_{\{vx_k\}\cup\Xi',J\cup\{k\}}\right)|_{\Pi_{I\cup\{n+1\},\mathbb{P}}} = \pm v^m \det\left(M'_{\Xi,I}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}},$$

which shows that $\{v^m x_k\}\cup\Lambda$ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I\cup\{n+1\},\mathbb{P}}$. This completes the proof. □

The following gives a criterion for quasi-smoothness along $\mathbb{P}\setminus\mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$.

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose that Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset $I\subset\{0,\dots,n\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$. Then the weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by $v^m x_k + f = 0$ is quasi-smooth along $\mathbb{P}\setminus\mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$ for a general $f\in\langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\setminus\mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}>1} = \left(\bigcup_{I\subset\{0,\dots,n\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}} \Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}\right)\cup\left(\bigcup_{I\subset\{0,\dots,n\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}} \Pi^*_{I\cup\{n+1\}.\mathbb{P}}\right)$$

Thus the assertion follows from Lemmas 4.11 and 4.6. □

The following gives a criterion for quasi-smoothness when $m=1$.

Lemma 4.13. *Suppose that $m=1$ and Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset $I\subset\{0,\dots,n\}\setminus\{k\}$. Then the weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by $vx_k+f=0$ is quasi-smooth for a general $f\in\langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$.*

Proof. Let $f\in\langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$ be a genera element and X the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by $vx_k+f=0$. Since

$$\frac{\partial(vx_k+f)}{\partial v} = x_k,$$

we see that X is quasi-smooth along the open set $(x_k\neq 0)\subset\mathbb{P}$. We set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\, I\subset\{0,\dots,n\}\setminus\{k\} \mid I\neq\emptyset\,\},$$
$$\mathcal{I}_{n+1} = \{\, I'\subset\{0,\dots,n+1\}\setminus\{k\} \mid I'\neq\emptyset\,\}.$$

By Lemma 4.6, it is enough to show that $\{vx_k\}\cup\Lambda$ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any $I\in\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ since

$$\mathbb{P}\supset(x_k=0) = \bigcup_{I'\in\mathcal{I}_{n+1}}\Pi^*_{I',\mathbb{P}}.$$

This follows from the assumption and Lemma 4.11 since

$$\mathcal{I}_{n+1} = \mathcal{I}\cup\{\, I\cup\{n+1\} \mid I\in\mathcal{I}\,\}\cup\{\{n+1\}\}$$

and $\{vx_k\}\cup\Lambda$ clearly satisfies $(\star)_{\{n+1\},\mathbb{P}}$. □

The following gives an easy criterion for the condition $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for $I\subset\{0,\dots,n\}$ with $|I|\leq 2$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let Λ be a set of monomials in variables $x_0,\dots,x_n$.*

1. *For $i\in\{0,\dots,n\}$, Λ satisfies $(\star)^k_{\{i\},\mathbb{P}}$ if either $x_i^l\in\Lambda$ for some $l>0$ or $x_i^l x_j$ for some $l>0$ and $j\in\{0,\dots,n\}\setminus\{i,k\}$.*
2. *For distinct $i_1,i_2\in\{0,\dots,n\}\setminus\{k\}$, Λ satisfies $(\star)_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$ if one of the following holds.*
   (a) *$x_{i_1}^{l_1}x_{i_2}^{l_2}, x_{i_1}^{m_1}x_{i_2}^{m_2}\in\Lambda$ for some $l_1,l_2,m_1,m_2\geq 0$ such that at least one of l_1-m_1 and l_2-m_2 is not divisible by p.*
   (b) *$x_{i_1}^{l_1}, x_{i_2}^{l_2}x_j\in\Lambda$ for some $l_1,l_2>0$ and $j\in\{0,\dots,n\}\setminus\{i_1,i_2,k\}$.*

(c) $x_{i_1}^{l_1}x_{j_1}, x_{i_2}^{l_2}x_{j_2} \in \Lambda$ *for some* $l_1, l_2 > 0$ *and distinct* $j_1, j_2 \in \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, k\}$.

(3) *For* $i \in \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$, Λ *satisfies* $(\star)_{\{i,k\},\mathbb{P}}$ *if one of the following holds.*

(a) $x_k^{\alpha_1}x_i^{\beta_1}x_{j_1}, x_k^{\alpha_2}x_i^{\beta_2}x_{j_2} \in \Lambda$ *for some* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 0$ *and distinct* $j_1, j_2 \in \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, i\}$.

(b) $x_k^{\alpha}x_i^{\beta}x_j, x_k^{\delta}x_i^{\gamma} \in \Lambda$ *for some* $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ *and* $j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, i\}$.

(c) $x_k^{\alpha}, x_i^{\beta}x_k^{\gamma} \in \Lambda$ *for some* $\alpha, \beta > 0$ *and* $\gamma \geq 0$ *such that* $p \nmid \beta$.

(d) $x_k^{\alpha}x_i, x_i^{\beta} \in \Lambda$ *for some* $\alpha, \beta > 0$ *such that* $p \nmid \beta - 1$.

Proof. (1) follows easily since

$$\det\left(M'_{\{x_i^l\},\emptyset}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{\{i\},\mathbb{P}}} = \det\left(M_{\{x_i^l x_j\},\{x_j\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{\{i\},\mathbb{P}}} = x_i^l.$$

We prove (2). Set $\Pi^* = \Pi^*_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$. Let Ξ be the set of 2 monomials indicated in (a), (b), (c) or (d). Suppose that we are in case (a). Then

$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_{i_1}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = (m_1 - l_1)x_{i_1}^{m_1+l_1-1}x_{i_2}^{m_2+l_2},$$
$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_{i_2}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = (m_2 - l_2)x_{i_1}^{m_1+l_1}x_{i_2}^{m_2+l_2-1}.$$

By the assumption, at least one of the above monomials is non-zero, and hence Λ satisfies $(\star)_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$. In the other cases, we have

$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_j\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = x_{i_1}^{l_1}x_{i_2}^{l_2} \quad \text{(in case (b))},$$
$$\det\left(M_{\Xi,\{x_{j_1},x_{j_2}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = x_{i_1}^{l_1}x_{i_2}^{l_2} \quad \text{(in case (c))}.$$

This shows that Λ satisfies $(\star)_{\{i_1,i_2\},\mathbb{P}}$.

Finally we prove (3). Set $\Pi^* = \Pi^*_{\{i,k\},\mathbb{P}}$ and let Ξ be the set of 2 monomials indicated in (a), (b), (c) or (d). We have

$$\det\left(M_{\Xi,\{x_{j_1},x_{j_2}\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = x_k^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}x_i^{\alpha_2+\beta_2} \quad \text{(in case (a))},$$
$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_j\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = x_k^{\alpha+\delta}x_i^{\beta+\gamma} \quad \text{(in case (b))},$$
$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_i\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = \beta x_k^{\alpha+\gamma}x_i^{\beta-1} \quad \text{(in case (c))},$$
$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_i\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = (\beta - 1)x_k^{\alpha}x_i^{\beta} \quad \text{(in case (d))}.$$

Therefore Λ satisfies $(\star)_{\{i,k\},\mathbb{P}}$. □

We make simpler the quasi-smoothness criterion given in Lemma 4.13 when $n = 3$. In the following lemma, we assume $k = 1$ for simplicity of the description.

Lemma 4.15. *Let* $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_3, c)$ *be a weighted hypersurface with homogeneous coordinates* $x_0, \dots, x_3, v$ *and let* Λ *be a set of monomials in variables* $x_0, \dots, x_3$ *of degree* d. *Suppose that one of the following holds.*

(1) $x_1^{l_1}, x_2^{l_2}, x_3^{l_3} \in \Lambda$ *for some* l_1, l_2, l_3 *such that at least two of them are not divisible by* p.

(2) $x_1^{l_1}, x_2^{l_2}, x_3^{l_3}x_1 \in \Lambda$ *for some* l_1, l_2, l_3 *such that* $p \nmid l_3$ *and either* $p \nmid l_1$ *or* $p \nmid l_2$.

(3) $x_1^{pl_1}, x_2^{l_2}x_1, x_3^{l_3}x_2 \in \Lambda$ *for some* l_1, l_2, l_3.

(4) $x_1^{l_1}x_2, x_2^{l_2}x_3, x_3^{l_3}x_1 \in \Lambda$ *for some* l_1, l_2, l_3 *such that* $p \nmid l_1l_2l_3 + 1$.

(5) $x_1^{l_1}, x_2x_1^{l_2}, x_3x_1^{l_3} \in \Lambda$ *for some* l_1, l_2, l_3 *and* Λ *satisfies* $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ *for any non-empty subset* $I \subset \{2,3\}$.

Then the weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ *defined by* $vx_0 + f = 0$ *is quasi-smooth for a general* $\langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.13, it is enough to show that Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset $I \subset \{1,2,3\}$. By Lemma 4.14, it is easy to see that Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any $I \subset \{1,2,3\}$ with $|I| \leq 2$. Thus it remains to show that Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for $I = \{1,2,3\}$. In the following, we denote by Ξ the set of 3 monomials indicated in (1), (2), (3) or (4), and we set $\Pi^* = \Pi^*_{x_1,x_2,x_3}$.

Suppose that we are in case (1). We may assume that $p \nmid l_1$ and $p \nmid l_2$. We have

$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_1,x_2\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = l_1l_2x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3},$$

which verifies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. Suppose that we are in case (2). We have

$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_1,x_3\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = l_1l_3x_1^{l_1}x_2^{l_2}x_3^{l_3-1},\ \det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_2,x_3\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = l_2l_3x_1^{l_1+1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}.$$

By the assumption, at least one of the above monomials is non-zero and thus $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ is verified.

Suppose that we are in case (3). We have

$$\det\left(M'_{\Xi,\{x_1,x_2\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = x_1^{pl_1}x_2^{l_2}x_3^{l_3},$$

which verifies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.

Suppose that we in case (4). We have

$$\det\left(M_{\Xi,\{x_1,x_2,x_3\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*} = (l_1l_2l_3+1)x_1^{pl_1}x_2^{l_2}x_3^{l_3},$$

which verifies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$.

Finally suppose that we are in case (5). It is clear that Λ satisfies $(\star)_{\{1\},\mathbb{P}}$ since $x_1^{l_1} \in \Lambda$. We have

$$\det\left(M'_{\{x_1^{l_1},x_ix_1^{l_i}\},\{x_i\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{x_1,x_i}} = x_1^{l_1+l_i}$$

for $i = 2,3$ and thus Λ satisfies $(\star)_{\{1,i\},\mathbb{P}}$ for $i = 1,2$. Further, we have

$$\det\left(M'_{\{x_1^{l_1},x_2x_1^{l_2},x_3x_1^{l_3}\},\{x_2,x_3\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{x_1,x_2,x_3}} = x_1^{l_1+l_2+l_3},$$

which verifies $(\star)_{\{1,2,3\},\mathbb{P}}$. We have verified $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any $I \subset \{1,2,3\}$ and thus the proof is completed. □

5. Critical points

The aim of this section is to show that a suitable section on a weighted projective space or a weighted hypersurface has only admissible critical points. We introduce the following condition on positive integers $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ and d.

Condition 5.1. (1) The set $\{0,\ldots,n\}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$ is non-empty, that is, there is $i \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$ such that $a_i = 1$.
(2) $d \geq 2a_i$ for any $i \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$.
(3) If $p = 2$ and n is odd, then there are distinct $j,k \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$ such that $d \geq 3a_j, 3a_k$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ be a weighted projective space. Suppose that $a_0, \dots, a_n$ and d satisfy* Condition 5.1 *and that d is divisible by p. Then, a general section $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d))$ has only admissible critical points on $\mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$.*

Proof. We denote by $x_0, \dots, x_n$ the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}$ of weight $a_0, \dots, a_n$. Let $\mathsf{p} \in \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$ be a point. Then, replacing coordinates, we may assume $\mathsf{p} = (1:0:\cdots:0)$. Condition 5.1.(2) implies that the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{p}}^3)$$

is surjective. If $p \neq 2$ or $p = 2$ and n is even, then the assertion follows from [16, 18 Proposition]. Suppose that $p = 2$ and n is odd. Let $W_{\mathsf{p}} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d))$ be the set of sections which have a critical point at p. It is easy to see that W_{p} is of codimension 3 in $H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d))$. We will construct a section f which is contained in W_{p} and has an admissible critical point at p. Note that $a_0 = 1$ since we arrange coordinates so that $\mathsf{p} = (1:0:\cdots:0) \in \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$. By Condition 5.1.(3), we may assume $d \geq 3a_1$. We define

$$f = x_0^d + x_0^{d-2a_1}x_1^2 + x_0^{d-a_2-a_3}x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_0^{d-a_{n-1}-a_n}x_{n-1}x_n + x_0^{d-a_3}x_1^3 + \cdots,$$

which is an element of W_{p} and it has an admissible critical point at p. Therefore the set of sections which has a non-admissible critical point at p is of codimension at least $n+1$ and the assertion follows from the dimension counting argument. □

Next, let $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n, c)$ be a weighted projective space with homogeneous coordinates $x_0, \dots, x_n$ and v of weight $a_0, \dots, a_n$ and c, respectively. We fix $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. For a homogeneous polynomial $f = f(x_0, \dots, x_3)$ of weight $d := c + a_k$, we denote by Z_f the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by $vx_k + f = 0$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $a_0, \dots, a_n$ and $d := c + a_k$ satisfy* Condition 5.1 *and that c is divisible by p. Then, for a general homogeneous polynomial $f = f(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ of weighted degree d, the section $v \in H^0(Z_f, \mathcal{O}_{Z_f}(c))$ has only admissible critical points on $Z_f \cap \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$.*

Proof. We see that, on a point $\mathsf{p} \in (x_k = 0) \cap Z_f$, v (or its translation) can be chosen as a part of local coordinates, so that v does not have a critical point at any point $\mathsf{p} \in (x_k = 0) \cap Z_f$.

We set $U = (x_k \neq 0) \cap \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1} \subset \mathbb{P}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the affine space parametrizing the homogeneous polynomials in variables $x_0, \dots, x_3$ of weight d. We define

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}} = \{\, (f, \mathsf{p}) \mid v \text{ has a critical point at } \mathsf{p} \in Z_f \,\} \subset \mathcal{F} \times U,$$
$$\mathcal{W} = \{\, (f, \mathsf{p}) \mid v \text{ has a non-admissible critical point at } \mathsf{p} \in Z_f \,\} \subset \mathcal{W}.$$

Let $\mathsf{p} \in U$ be a point. We will compute the dimension of the fibers $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}$ over p of the projections $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}} \to U$ and $\mathcal{W} \to U$, respectively.

By replacing coordinates other than x_k and v, we may assume that the coordinates other than x_0, x_k, v vanish at p and $a_0 = 1$. We work on the open subset $U_0 = (x_0 \neq 0) \subset \mathbb{P}$ which we identify with the affine space with coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n, v$.

Suppose that $k = 0$, that is, Z_f is defined by $vx_0 + f = 0$. Then, $Z_f \cap U_0$ is defined by $v + f(1, x_1, \dots, x_3) = 0$ and the point p corresponds to $(0, 0, 0, \mu) \in U_0$ for some $\mu \in \Bbbk$. We write $f(1, x_1, \dots, x_3) = \alpha + g_1 + g_2 + \cdots$, where $g_i = g_i(x_1, \dots, x_3)$ is homogeneous of degree i (degree means the usual one; $\deg(x_i) = 1$). Thus, $v = -f \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$ if and only if $\alpha = \mu$ and $g_1 = 0$. The latter imposes $n+1$ conditions. If $p \neq 2$ or $p = 2$ and n is even, then for an element $f \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$, we have $(f, \mathsf{p}) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}$ if

and only if the Hessian of g_2 is 0, which imposes additional 1 condition. If $p = 2$ and n is odd, then we can construct f such that $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = x_1^2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n$ and $g_3 = x_1^3$ since $d \geq 3a_1$. This shows $\mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}} \neq \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$. The above arguments show that the codimension of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}$ in $\mathcal{F} \times U$ is at least $n + 2$.

Suppose that $k \neq 0$. We may assume $k = 1$, that is, Z_f is defined in $\mathbb{P}$ by $vx_1 + f = 0$. Then, $Z_f \cap U_0$ is defined by $vx_1 + f(1, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ and the point p corresponds to $(\lambda, 0, \ldots, 0, \mu)$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \Bbbk$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. We set $x_1^* = x_1 - \lambda$. We can write $f(1, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \alpha + g_1 + g_2 + \cdots$, where $g_i = g_i(x_1^*, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is homogeneous of degree i. Passing to the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z_f,\mathsf{p}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v &= -(x_1^* + \lambda)^{-1}(\alpha + g_1 + g_2 + g_3 + \cdots) \\ &= -(\lambda^{-1} - \lambda^{-2}x_1^* + \lambda^{-3}x_1^{*2} - \lambda^{-4}x_1^{*3} + \cdots)(\alpha + g_1 + g_2 + g_3 + \cdots) \\ &= -\lambda^{-1}\alpha - \lambda^{-1}(g_1 - \alpha\lambda^{-1}x_1^*) - \lambda^{-1}(g_2 - \lambda^{-1}x_1^*g_1 + \alpha\lambda^{-2}x_1^{*2}) \\ &\qquad - \lambda^{-1}(g_3 - \lambda^{-1}x_1^*g_2 + \lambda^{-2}x_1^{*2}g_1 - \alpha\lambda^{-3}x_1^{*3}) + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We see that $(f, \mathsf{p}) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$ if and only if $\lambda\mu + \alpha = 0$, $g_1 - \alpha\lambda^{-1}x_1^* = 0$. The latter imposes $n + 1$ condition since $d \geq 2a_n$. In case $(f, \mathsf{p}) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$, we have $\alpha = -\lambda\nu$ and $g_1 = -\nu x_1^*$, that is, $v = -\nu + \lambda^{-1}g_2 + \cdots$. Thus, if $p \neq 2$ or $p = 2$ and n is even, then we can conclude that $n + 2$ conditions are imposed in order for (f, p) to be contained $\mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}$. If $p = 2$ and n is odd, then we may assume $3a_n \geq d$ and we can construct f such that $\alpha = -\lambda\nu$, $g_1 = -\mu x_1^*$, $g_2 = x_1^*x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{n-2}x_{n-1} + x_n^2$ and $g_3 = x_n^3 + \cdots$. For such f, we see that v has an admissible critical point at $\mathsf{p} \in Z_f$. This shows $\mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}} \neq \mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$ and thus the codimension of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathsf{p}}$ in $\mathcal{F} \times U$ is at least $n + 2$. Therefore, by counting dimension, we conclude that v has only admissible critical points on $Z_f \cap \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$. □

6. Orbifold Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces: rationality

The following rationality criterion is almost obvious.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and d be positive integers such that $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n+1}$. Suppose that either $d < 2a_{n+1}$ or $d = 2a_{n+1} = 2a_n$. Then a general weighted hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1})$ is rational if it is irreducible and reduced.*

Proof. Let X be a general irreducible and reduced weighted hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbb{P}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1})$. If $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and d satisfy $d = 2a_n = 2a_{n+1}$, then we may assume that X passes through the point $\mathsf{p}_{n+1} = (0 : \cdots : 0 : 1)$ after possibly changing homogeneous coordinates suitably. Hence, in both cases, the defining equation of X is of the form $x_{n+1}f + g = 0$ for some polynomials f, g in variables $x_0, \ldots, x_n$. This implies that the projection $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ from the point p_{n+1} gives a birational map and X is rational. □

Proposition 6.2. *An irreducible and reduced member of* 20 *families* No.104–106, 111–115, 118–121, *and* 123–130 *is rational.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 6.1. □

7. Orbifold Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces: stable non-rationality

Among the 130 families of orbifold Fano 3-folds, 20 families are rational by Proposition 6.2, and stable non-rationality of a very general member of the 4 families No. 1,

3, 97, 98 have been known. Furthermore, we do not treat cubic 3-folds, the family No. 96. The aim of this section is to prove stable non-rationality of very general members of the remaining 105 families. Although we do not treat the above mentioned families No. 1, 3, 97, 98, we remark that our argument can also be applied to those 4 families.

We treat families No. 19, 103 and 122 separately in Sections 7.5, 7.3 and 7.4, respectively. The remaining 102 families are divided into 2 groups named type I and type II, which consist of 65 and 37 families (see Tables 2 and 4), and the proof of stable non-rationality will be given in Sections 7.1 and 7.2, respectively.

TABLE 2. Fano 3-folds of type I

No.	$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$	p	No.	$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$	p
4	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,1,2,2)$	2	64	$X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,6,\underline{13})$	2
5	$X_7 \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,1,2,3)$	7	65	$X_{27} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,\underline{9},11)$	3
8	$X_9 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,\underline{3},4)$	3	67	$X_{28} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,4,9,\underline{14})$	2
10	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,3,\underline{5})$	2	68	$X_{28} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,\underline{4},7,14)$	7
11	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,2,\underline{5})$	2	70	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,4,10,\underline{15})$	2
13	$X_{11} \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,2,3,5)$	11	71	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,6,8,\underline{15})$	2
14	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,\underline{4},6)$	3	73	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,6,7,\underline{15})$	2
15	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,\underline{2},3,6)$	3	74	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{3},4,10,13)$	5
17	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,\underline{3},4,4)$	2	76	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{5},6,8,11)$	3
20	$X_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,3,4,5)$	13	79	$X_{33} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,5,\underline{11},14)$	3
21	$X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,4,\underline{7})$	2	80	$X_{34} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,10,\underline{17})$	2
22	$X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,2,3,\underline{7})$	2	81	$X_{34} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,6,7,\underline{17})$	2
24	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,\underline{5},7)$	3	82	$X_{36} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,5,12,\underline{18})$	2
25	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,\underline{3},4,7)$	5	84	$X_{36} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,7,8,\underline{9},12)$	2
26	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,3,\underline{5},6)$	3	85	$X_{38} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,5,11,\underline{19})$	2
27	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,\underline{3},5,5)$	5	86	$X_{38} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,5,6,8,\underline{19})$	2
28	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,3,4,\underline{5})$	3	87	$X_{40} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,5,7,\underline{8},20)$	5
34	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,6,\underline{9})$	2	88	$X_{42} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,6,14,\underline{21})$	2
36	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,4,6,7)$	2	89	$X_{42} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,14,\underline{21})$	2
41	$X_{20} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,\underline{4},5,10)$	5	91	$X_{44} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,5,13,\underline{22})$	2
45	$X_{20} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,\underline{5},8)$	2	92	$X_{48} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,5,16,\underline{24})$	2
46	$X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,3,\underline{7},10)$	3	93	$X_{50} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,7,8,10,\underline{25})$	2
47	$X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,5,\underline{7},8)$	3	94	$X_{54} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,5,18,\underline{27})$	2
48	$X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,\underline{7},9)$	3	95	$X_{66} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,5,6,22,\underline{33})$	2
49	$X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,5,6,\underline{7})$	3	99	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3,\underline{5})$	2
50	$X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,3,7,\underline{11})$	2	101	$X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,7,\underline{11})$	2
51	$X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,4,6,\underline{11})$	2	102	$X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,7,\underline{13})$	2
52	$X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,4,5,\underline{11})$	2	107	$X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,2,\underline{3})$	2
53	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,3,\underline{8},12)$	3	109	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,\underline{5},7)$	3
59	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,6,7,\underline{8})$	3	110	$X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,5,\underline{7},8)$	3
61	$X_{25} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,\underline{5},7,9)$	5	116	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,\underline{5})$	2
62	$X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,5,7,\underline{13})$	2	117	$X_{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,\underline{5},7)$	3
63	$X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,8,\underline{13})$	2			

7.1. **Type I families.** We consider families listed in Table 2. The aim is to construct a subspace T of the parameter space $\mathbb{P}^M$ of each family $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ satisfying Condition 2.5.

We explain how to read Table 2. In the 2nd and 5th columns, the weighted degree d of the hypersurface and the ambient space $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$ is given. Moreover, there is indicated a unique underlined weight. We choose homogeneous coordinates x, y, z, t, w of $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$ so that w corresponds to the underlined weight and the others are ordered as $\mathrm{wt}(x) \le \mathrm{wt}(y) \le \mathrm{wt}(z) \le \mathrm{wt}(t)$. For example, for family No. 4, w, x, y, z, t are the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}(\underline{1}, 1, 1, 2, 2)$ of weight $1, 1, 1, 2, 2$, respectively, and for family No. 8, x, y, z, w, t are coordinates of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, \underline{3}, 4)$ of weights $1, 1, 1, 3, 4$, respectively.

In the following we treat type I families uniformly. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ be a type I family of weighted hypersurfaces of weighted degree d in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$. We assume that a_4 is the underlined weight and let $x_0, \dots, x_3$ and w be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$ of weight $a_0, \dots, a_3$ and a_4, respectively (When we treat a specific family individually, we use coordinates x, y, z, t instead of $x_0, \dots, x_3$). We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic p, where p is the prime number given in the 3rd and 6th columns. Let Λ be the set of monomials in variables $x_0, \dots, x_3$ of weighted degree d. We consider weighted hypersurfaces X defined in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ by an equation of the form

$$w^m + f = 0,$$

where $m = d/a_4$ is a positive integer and $f \in \langle \Lambda \rangle_{\Bbbk}$. Those hypersurfaces are parametrized by $T_{\Bbbk}$, where $T \cong \mathbb{A}^N$ with $N = |\Lambda|$ is the parameter space of polynomials in $\langle \Lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\pi \colon X \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_4)$ be the projection which is the cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}$ branched along the divisor $(f = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}$. Note that the covering degree m is divisible by p. We set $\mathbb{P}^\circ = \mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$ and $X^\circ = \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^\circ)$. In the following, we assume that X is general, that is, f is general in $\langle \Lambda \rangle_{\Bbbk}$.

Lemma 7.1. *X has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities along $X \setminus X^\circ$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that X is quasi-smooth along $X \setminus X^\circ$. We set $I = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$. We have

$$X \setminus X^\circ = X \cap \left(\Pi^*_{I, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \cup \Pi^*_{I \cup \{4\}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.8, it is enough to show that Λ satisfies $(*)_{I', \mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset $I' \subset I$.

By Lemma 4.9, it is straightforward to check $(*)_{I', \mathbb{P}}$ for any $I' \subset \{0, 1, 2, 3\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$ with $|I'| \le 2$ and we leave it to readers (see Example 7.2 below). In particular, the proof is completed for families such that $|I| \le 2$. In Table 3, we list families (together with a set of monomials) such that $|I| \ge 3$. For any such family, we have $|I| = 3$ and it remains to check $(*)_{I, \mathbb{P}}$ for $I = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$. Let Ξ be the set of monomials in the 2nd or 4th column and let J be the set of 3 coordinates indicated as a subscript of Ξ. Then it is straightforward to check that $\det(M_{\Xi, J})|_{\Pi^*_{I, \mathbb{P}}}$ is a nonzero monomial, that is, Λ satisfies $(*)_{I, \mathbb{P}}$. This completes the proof. □

Example 7.2. We consider family No. 22. Let $X = X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, \underline{7})$ be a weighted hypersurface defined by $w^2 + f_{14}(x, y, z, t) = 0$, where $f_{14} \in \Bbbk[x, y, z, t]$ is general and $\Bbbk$ is of characteristic 2. We set $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3)$ and we have $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1} =$

TABLE 3. Monomials proving quasi-smoothness of $X \setminus X^\circ$

No.	Monomials	No.	Monomials
13	$\{t^2x, tz^2, z^3y\}_{x,y,t}$	73	$\{t^3y, y^{13}, zy^{11}x\}_{x,y,t}$
20	$\{t^2y, z^2t, z^3x\}_{x,y,t}$	74	$\{z^3, t^2z, tz^4x\}_{x,z,t}$
22	$\{y^7, z^7, ty^5x\}_{x,y,z}$	76	$\{y^5, t^2z, ty^3x\}_{x,y,z}$
27	$\{y^7x, z^3, t^3\}_{x,z,t}$	79	$\{y^{11}, t^2z, zy^9x\}_{x,y,z}$
28	$\{y^5, z^5, t^2z^2x\}_{x,y,z}$	80	$\{t^3z, z^6t, y^{11}x\}_{x,z,t}$
36	$\{z^3, t^2y, tzyx\}_{x,y,z}$	81	$\{t^4z, z^5y, t^3y^3x\}_{x,y,z}$
45	$\{z^5, y^4t, t^2yx\}_{x,z,t}$	84	$\{t^3, y^4z, y^5x\}_{x,z,t}$
48	$\{t^2z, z^7, y^{10}x\}_{x,z,t}$	85	$\{t^3z, z^7y, z^2y^9x\}_{x,y,z}$
49	$\{y^7, z^3t, z^4x\}_{x,y,t}$	86	$\{t^4z, y^6t, t^4yx\}_{x,z,t}$
52	$\{y^{11}, t^2z^3, ty^8x\}_{x,y,z}$	87	$\{t^2, y^8, z^2y^5x\}_{x,y,t}$
59	$\{z^4, y^8, s^2y^3x\}_{x,y,z}$	89	$\{t^3, y^{21}, zy^{18}x\}_{x,y,t}$
61	$\{t^2z, z^3y, y^6x\}_{x,y,t}$	91	$\{y^{11}, t^3z, tz^6x\}_{x,y,z}$
63	$\{t^2z, z^3y, y^6x\}_{x,y,t}$	92	$\{t^3, z^9y, xzy^{14}\}_{x,y,t}$
64	$\{t^2z, z^3y, y^6x\}_{x,y,t}$	93	$\{t^5, y^6z, y^9x\}_{x,z,t}$
65	$\{t^2z, z^3y, y^6x\}_{x,y,t}$	94	$\{t^3, z^{10}y, zy^{12}x\}_{x,y,t}$
68	$\{t^2z, z^3y, y^6x\}_{x,y,t}$	95	$\{t^3, z^{11}, y^3x\}_{x,z,t}$

$\{1,2,3\}$. The existence of monomials $y^7, z^7, t^4y \in \Lambda$ shows that Λ satisfies $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any $I \subset \{1,2,3\}$ with $|I| = 1$. For $I \subset \{1,2,3\}$ with $|I| = 2$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{y^7, z^7\}}{\partial\{y,z\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{y,z}} = y^6z^6, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{y^7, ty^5x\}}{\partial\{x,y\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{y,t}} = ty^{11}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{y^7, tz^5x\}}{\partial\{x,z\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{y,t}} = tz^{11}.$$

Here (and after),

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{y^7, z^7\}}{\partial\{y,z\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{y,z}} = \det\left(M_{\{y^7,z^7\},\{y,z\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{y,z}}$$

and similarly for the others. Finally, For $I = \{1,2,3\}$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{y^7, z^7, ty^5x\}}{\partial\{x,y,z\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{y,z,t}} = ty^{11}z^6,$$

The above computations show that Λ satisfies $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset of $\{0,1,2,3\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$ and thus X is quasi-smooth along $X \setminus X^\circ$.

Lemma 7.3. *The section $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d))$ has only admissible critical points on $\mathbb{P}^\circ$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that Condition 5.1 is satisfied. Thus, the assertion follows from Lemma 5.2. □

Proposition 7.4. *Any type* I *family $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{Z}}$ together with T satisfies* Condition 2.5.

Proof. We first check that Condition 3.4 is satisfied for X and Z. Note that in this case we have $Z = \mathbb{P}$ and $\bar{w} = f$. It is clear that (1), (3) and (4) are satisfied. By Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3, (3) is satisfied.

Thus, by Proposition 3.5, Condition 2.5.(2) is satisfied. Here the condition $T_{\Bbbk}^{\mathrm{indep}} \neq \emptyset$ follows if we choose $\Bbbk$ so that it is uncountable. Quasi-smoothness of general members of the subfamily $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^M_{\mathbb{C}}$ parametrized by $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ follows from quasi-smoothness criterion [19, Theorem 3.3] in characteristic 0. Therefore Condition 2.5 is satisfied. □

TABLE 4. Fano 3-folds of type II

No.	$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$	p	Eq	No.	$X_d \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$	p	Eq
2	$X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,\underline{2})$	2	w^2x	43	$X_{20} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,4,5,\underline{9})$	2	w^2y
6	$X_8 \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,1,2,4)$	7	w^7x	44	$X_{20} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,6,\underline{7})$	2	w^2t
7	$X_8 \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,2,2,3)$	7	w^7x	54	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,6,8,9)$	23	$w^{23}x$
9	$X_9 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,\underline{2},3,3)$	2	w^4x	55	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,\underline{7},12)$	3	w^3z
12	$X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,\underline{3},4)$	3	w^3x	56	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,8,\underline{11})$	2	w^2y
16	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,4,\underline{5})$	2	w^2z	57	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,\underline{5},12)$	2	w^4z
18	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,2,3,\underline{5})$	2	w^2z	58	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,7,\underline{10})$	2	w^2z
23	$X_{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,\underline{3},4,5)$	3	w^3t	60	$X_{24} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,5,6,\underline{9})$	2	w^2t
29	$X_{16} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,\underline{5},8)$	3	w^3x	66	$X_{27} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{5},6,7,9)$	2	w^4z
30	$X_{16} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,\underline{3},4,8)$	5	w^5x	69	$X_{28} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,6,7,\underline{11})$	2	w^2z
31	$X_{16} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,4,\underline{5},6)$	3	w^3x	72	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,\underline{3},10,15)$	5	w^5t
32	$X_{16} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,\underline{3},4,7)$	5	w^5x	75	$X_{30} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{4},5,6,15)$	3	w^6z
33	$X_{17} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{2},3,5,7)$	2	w^8x	77	$X_{32} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,5,\underline{9},16)$	3	w^3z
35	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(\underline{1},1,3,5,9)$	17	$w^{17}x$	78	$X_{32} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,4,\underline{5},7,16)$	5	w^5z
37	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,\underline{3},4,9)$	3	w^3t	83	$X_{36} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,\underline{11},18)$	3	w^3y
38	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5,\underline{8})$	2	w^2y	90	$X_{42} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{3},4,14,21)$	7	w^7t
39	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,3,4,\underline{5},6)$	3	w^3y	100	$X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,\underline{5},9)$	3	w^3z
40	$X_{19} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,\underline{3},4,5,7)$	3	w^6x	108	$X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,4,\underline{5})$	2	w^2y
42	$X_{20} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,\underline{3},5,10)$	5	w^5z				

7.2. **Type II families.** We consider type II families listed in Table 4. In the 2nd and 6th columns, the weighted degree of the hypersurface and the ambient weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$ is given. We choose homogeneous coordinates x,y,z,t,w of $\mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$ so that w corresponds to the underlined weight and the others are arranged as $\mathrm{wt}(x) \le \mathrm{wt}(y) \le \mathrm{wt}(z) \le \mathrm{wt}(t)$.

In the following we treat type II families uniformly. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ be a type II family of weighted hypersurfaces of weighted degree d in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(a_0,\dots,a_4)$. We assume that a_4 is the underlined weight and let $x_0,\dots,x_3$ and w be the coordinates of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Let Λ be the set of monomials in variables $x_0,\dots,x_n$ of weighted degree d. We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of positive characteristic p, where p is the prime number given in the 3rd or 7th column. Let Λ be the set of monomials in variables $x_0,\dots,x_3$ of weighted degree d. We consider weighted hypersurfaces X defined in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ by an equation of the form

$$w^m x_k + f = 0,$$

where $w^m x_k$ is the monomials given in the 4th or 8th column and $f \in \langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$. These hypersurfaces are parametrized by $T_{\Bbbk}$, where $T \cong \mathbb{A}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $N = |\Lambda|$ is the parameter

space of polynomials in $\langle\Lambda\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We define

$$Z = (\bar{w}x_k + f = 0) \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_3, ma_4),$$

where $\bar{w}$ is the coordinate of weight ma_4, and let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be the morphism defined as $\pi^*\bar{w} = w^m$. Note that m is divisible by p and π is an inseparable cyclic covering (of degree m) branched along the divisor $(\bar{w} = 0) \cap Z$. We define $Z^\circ = Z \cap \mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$ and $X^\circ = \pi^{-1}(Z^\circ)$. In the following, we assume that X is general.

Lemma 7.5. *Z is well formed and quasi-smooth. In particular, Z° is nonsingular.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that Z is well formed, and we leave it to readers. We prove quasi-smoothness of Z. In Table 5, we list a set of monomials in the 2nd, 5th and 8th columns except for families No. 18, 23, 44, and this shows that Z is quasi-smooth by applying (j) of Lemma 4.15, where (j) is the one given in the 3rd, 6th or 9th column.

We consider family No. 18. We have $x^{12}, yx^{10}, tx^9 \in \Lambda$ and thus, by Lemma 4.15.(5), it remains to check $(\star)$ for the strata $\Pi^*_{y,t}$, Π^*_y and Π^*_t. We can check these easily by Lemma 4.14 since $y^6, t^4, y^3t^2 \in \Lambda$.

We consider families No. 23 and 44, respectively. We have $x^{14}, yx^{12}, zx^{10} \in \Lambda$ and $x^{20}, yx^{18}, zx^{15} \in \Lambda$, respectively, and thus by Lemma 4.15.(5), it remains to check $(\star)$ for $\Pi^*_{y,z}, \Pi^*_y, \Pi^*_z$. We can check these easily by Lemma 4.14 since $y^7, z^3y \in \Lambda$ for family No. 23 and $y^{10}, z^4, z^2y^5 \in \Lambda$ for family No. 44. This completes the proof. □

TABLE 5. Monomials proving quasi-smoothness of Z

No.	Monomials	4.15	No.	Monomials	4.15	No.	Monomials	4.15
6	$\{y^7, z^4, t^2\}$	(1)	35	$\{y^6, t^2, z^3y\}$	(2)	58	$\{y^6, t^3y, x^{17}t\}$	(3)
7	$\{z^4, y^4, t^2z\}$	(2)	37	$\{y^9, z^4y, x^{14}z\}$	(3)	60	$\{y^6, z^4y, x^{19}z\}$	(3)
9	$\{y^9, z^3, t^3\}$	(1)	38	$\{z^6, t^3z, x^{13}t\}$	(3)	66	$\{t^3, x^{27}, y^3t\}$	(2)
12	$\{z^5, y^{10}, t^2z\}$	(2)	39	$\{t^3, z^3t, x^{14}z\}$	(3)	69	$\{t^4, y^7, x^{21}t\}$	(2)
16	$\{x^{10}, t^3, y^9x\}$	(2)	40	$\{t^2z, z^3y, y^3t\}$	(4)	72	$\{y^{15}, z^3, x^{28}y\}$	(2)
18		(5)	42	$\{y^{10}, t^2, x^{18}y\}$	(2)	75	$\{y^6, t^2, x^{25}y\}$	(2)
23		(5)	43	$\{t^4, z^5, x^{15}t\}$	(2)	77	$\{x^{32}, y^{16}, t^2\}$	(1)
29	$\{y^{16}, z^8, t^2\}$	(1)	44		(5)	78	$\{x^{32}, y^8, t^2\}$	(1)
30	$\{y^{16}, z^4, t^2\}$	(1)	54	$\{y^4, z^3, t^2y\}$	(2)	83	$\{z^9, t^2, x^{32}z\}$	(2)
31	$\{z^4, y^{16}, t^2z\}$	(2)	55	$\{y^{12}, t^2, x^{22}y\}$	(2)	90	$\{y^{14}, t^2, x^{39}y\}$	(2)
32	$\{y^8, z^4, t^2y\}$	(2)	56	$\{z^8, t^3, x^{21}z\}$	(2)	100	$\{y^6, t^2, x^{16}y\}$	(2)
33	$\{t^2y, z^2t, y^4z\}$	(4)	57	$\{t^2, y^4t, x^{21}y\}$	(3)	108	$\{z^4, t^3, x^9z\}$	(2)

Lemma 7.6. *X has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities along $X \setminus X^\circ$.*

Proof. We first claim that X is quasi-smooth along $(x_k = 0) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Let $\mathrm{NQsm}(X)$ and $\mathrm{NQsm}(Z)$ be the non-quasi-smooth loci of X and Z, respectively. We have $\partial(w^m x_k + f)/\partial w = 0$ since $p \mid m$, hence

$$\mathrm{NQsm}(X) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial(w^m x_k + f)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \right) \cap (w^m x_k + f = 0) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}.$$

By Lemma 7.5, Z is quasi-smooth, which implies

$$\emptyset = \mathrm{NQsm}(Z) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial(\bar{w}x_k + f)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \right) \cap (x_k = 0) \cap (\bar{w}x_k + f = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}.$$

We have

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{NQsm}(Z)) = \mathrm{NQsm}(X) \cap (x_k = 0),$$

and thus $\mathrm{NQsm}(X) \cap (x_k = 0) = \emptyset$, that is, X is quasi-smooth along $(x_k = 0)$.

Let X be a member of family for which x_k is of weight 1 (this corresponds to a family such that $w^m x$ is given in Table 4). In this case $X \setminus X^\circ$ is contained in $(x_k = 0)$ and thus X is quasi-smooth along $X \setminus X^\circ$.

We assume that the weight of x_k is at least 2 and we set $I = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}_{\mathrm{wt}>1}$. By Lemma 4.12, it is enough to show that $(\star)^k_{I',\mathbb{P}}$ is satisfied for any non-empty subset $I' \subset I$. By Lemma 4.14, it is straightforward to check $(\star)^k_{I',\mathbb{P}}$ for any subset $I' \subset I$ with $|I| \leq 2$ and we leave it to readers. In particular the proof is completed if $|I| \leq 2$.

In Table 6, we list families (together with a set of monomials) such that the weight of x_k is at least 2 and $|I| \geq 3$. For any such family, we have $|I| = 3$ and thus it remains to check $(\star)^k_{I,\mathbb{P}}$. Let Ξ be the set of 3 monomials given in the 2nd, 4th or 6th column of the table and J the set of 2 coordinates given as the subscript of Ξ. Then we see that $\det(M'_{\Xi,J})|_{\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}}}$ is a non-zero monomial and thus Λ satisfies $(\star)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$, which completes the proof. □

TABLE 6. Monomials proving quasi-smoothness of $X \setminus X^\circ$

No.	Monomials	No.	Monomials	No.	Monomials
18	$\{xty^4, z^5y, t^4\}_{x,y}$	55	$\{xzy^{10}, t^2, y^{12}\}_{x,t}$	75	$\{xyz^4, t^2, y^6\}_{x,t}$
23	$\{xtz^2, y^7, t^2z\}_{x,y}$	56	$\{xzy^{10}, t^3, z^8\}_{x,t}$	77	$\{xzy^{13}, y^{16}, t^2\}_{x,y}$
37	$\{xty^5, y^9, z^4y\}_{x,y}$	57	$\{xyz^5, ty^4, y^8\}_{x,t}$	78	$\{xzy^6, y^8, t^2\}_{x,y}$
38	$\{xzy^7, z^6, t^3z\}_{x,z}$	58	$\{xtz^4, y^8, t^3y\}_{x,y}$	83	$\{xyz^8, t^2, z^9\}_{x,t}$
39	$\{xy^3z^2, z^3t, t^3\}_{x,t}$	60	$\{xz^3y^2, z^4y, y^6\}_{x,y}$	90	$\{xty^5, z^3, zy^7\}_{x,z}$
42	$\{xzy^7, y^5, t^2\}_{x,t}$	66	$\{xz^2y^2, t^3, ty^3\}_{x,y}$	100	$\{xty^4, t^2, y^9\}_{x,t}$
43	$\{xty^7, z^5, t^4\}_{x,z}$	69	$\{xty^5, y^7, t^4\}_{x,y}$	108	$\{xzy^4, t^3, z^4\}_{x,t}$
44	$\{xzy^7, z^2y^5, z^4\}_{x,y}$	72	$\{xty^7, z^3, y^{15}\}_{x,z}$		

Proposition 7.7. *Any type* II *family* $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ *together with* T *satisfies* Condition 2.5.

Proof. We can verify Condition 2.5.(1) by the quasi-smoothness criterion [19, Theorem 3.3] in characteristic 0. We see that Condition 3.4 is satisfied by Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9, hence Condition 2.5.(2) follows from Proposition 3.5. □

7.3. **Family No. 103.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ be the family No. 103 consisting of the weighted hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5, 11, 19)$ of weighted degree 38. We re-order the weight so that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5, 19, 11)$ and we denote by x, y, z, t, w the homogeneous coordinates of weight $2, 3, 5, 19, 11$, respectively. We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 2. Let Λ be the set of monomials in variables x, y, z, t of weighted

degree 38. We consider weighted hypersurfaces $X \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + f(x, y, z, t) = 0.$$

These X are parametrized by $T_{\Bbbk}$, where $T \cong \mathbb{A}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $N = |\Lambda|$ parametrizes the polynomials in $\langle \Lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. In the following we assume that f is general. We set $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5, 19)$ and $U = (x \neq 0) \cap (y \neq 0) \subset \mathbb{P}$. Note that U is smooth. Let $\pi \colon X \to \mathbb{P}$ be the projection which is a double cover branched along the divisor $(f = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}$.

Lemma 7.8. *X is quasismooth along $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$. In particular X has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities along $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$.*

Proof. We have

$$X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U) = X \cap \left(\Pi_{I_0, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \cup \Pi_{I_1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \right),$$

where $I_0 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $I_1 = \{0, 2, 3\}$. By Lemma 4.8, it is enough to show that Λ satisfies $(*)_{I, \mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset I of either I_0 or I_1. By Lemma 4.9, it is straight forward to check $(*)_{I, \mathbb{P}}$ for I with $|I| \leq 2$. For the strata $\Pi^*_{I_0, \mathbb{P}} = \Pi^*_{y,z,t}$ and $\Pi^*_{I_1, \mathbb{P}} = \Pi^*_{x,z,t}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial\{t^3 z, z^7 y, y^{12} x\}}{\partial\{x, y, z\}} \right|_{\Pi^*_{y,z,t}} = t^3 z^7 y^{12}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial\{t^3 z, z^7 y, x^{19}\}}{\partial\{x, y, z\}} \right|_{\Pi^*_{x,z,t}} = t^3 z^7 x^{18},$$

which shows that X is quasi-smooth along $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$. □

Lemma 7.9. *The section $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(38))$ has only admissible critical points on U.*

Proof. We show that, for any point $\mathsf{p} \in U$, the map

$$\rho \colon H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(38)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(38) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} / \mathfrak{m}^2_{\mathsf{p}}$$

is surjective and there exists $g \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(38))$ which has an admissible critical point at p, which will complete the proof by the dimension counting argument.

We have an identification $U \cong (\mathbb{A}^1_u \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^2_{z,t}$. where $z|_U$ and $t|_U$ are simply denoted by z, t, and $x|_U = y|_U = u$. Replacing coordinates we may assume $\mathsf{p} = (\lambda \colon 1 \colon 0 \colon 0) \in U$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and, by the above identification, $\mathsf{p} = (\lambda^2, 0, 0)$. We set $u^* = u - \lambda^2$ so that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{p}} = (u^*, z, t)$. We have

$$\rho(x^{10} y^6) = \lambda^{32}, \ \rho(x^{19}) = \lambda^{38} + \lambda^{38} u^*, \ \rho(z x^3 y^9) = \lambda^{24} z, \ \rho(t x^9 y^3) = \lambda^{24} t,$$

which implies that ρ is surjective. Moreover we have

$$(z s x^8 y^2 + x^{19} + \lambda^2 x^{16} y^2 + \lambda^4 x^{13} y^4)|_U = \lambda^{38} + \lambda^{20} z s + \lambda^{32} u^{*3} + \cdots,$$

which has an admissible critical point at p. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 7.10. *The family* No. 103 *together with T satisfies* Condition 2.5.

Proof. We can verify Condition 2.5.(1) by the quasi-smoothness criterion [19, Theorem 3.3] in characteristic 0. We see that Condition 3.4 is satisfied by Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9, hence Condition 2.5.(2) follows from Proposition 3.5. □

7.4. **Family No. 122.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ be the family No. 122 consisting of the weighted hypersurfaces in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5,7)$ of weighted degree 14. We denote by x, y, z, t, w the homogeneous coordinates of weight $2, 3, 4, 5, 7$, respectively. We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 2. Let Λ be the set of monomials in variables x, y, z, t of weighted degree 14. We consider weighted hypersurfaces $X \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + f(x, y, z, t) = 0.$$

These X are parametrized by $T_{\Bbbk}$, where $T \cong \mathbb{A}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $N = |\Lambda|$ parametrizes the polynomials in $\langle\Lambda\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. In the following we assume that X is general. We set $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5)$ and $U = (x \neq 0) \cap (y \neq 0)$ of $\mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5)$. Note that U is smooth. Let $\pi\colon X \to \mathbb{P}$ be the projection which is the double cover branched along the divisor $(f = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}$. The arguments for this family are almost the same as in the previous subsection.

Lemma 7.11. *X is quasi-smooth along $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$. In particular X has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities along $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 7.8, it is enough to show that Λ satisfies $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any non-empty subset I of either I_0 or I_1, where $I_0 = \{1,2,3\}$ and $I_1 = \{0,2,3\}$. By Lemma 4.9, it is easy to check $(*)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for I with $|I| \leq 2$. For the strata $\Pi^*_{I_0,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} = \Pi^*_{y,z,t}$ and $\Pi^*_{I_1,\mathbb{P}} = \Pi^*_{x,z,t}$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{y^3t, z^3x, t^2z\}}{\partial\{x,y,z\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{yzt}} = t^3z^3y^2, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{x^7, t^2z, tzyx\}}{\partial\{x,y,z\}}\right|_{\Pi^*_{xzt}} = t^3zx^7,$$

which show that X is quasi-smooth along $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$. □

Lemma 7.12. *The section $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(14))$ has only admissible critical points on U.*

Proof. We show that, for any point $\mathsf{p} \in U$, the map

$$\rho\colon H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(14)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(14) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/\mathfrak{m}^2_{\mathsf{p}}$$

is surjective and there exists $g \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(14))$ which has an admissible critical point at p, which will complete the proof. We have an identification $U \cong (\mathbb{A}^1_u \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^2_{z,s}$. where $z|_U$ and $s|_U$ are simply denoted by z, s, and $x|_U = y|_U = u$. Replacing coordinates we may assume $\mathsf{p} = (\lambda : 1 : 0 : 0) \in U$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and, by the above identification, $\mathsf{p} = (\lambda^2, 0, 0)$. We set $u^* = u - \lambda^2$ so that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{p}} = (u^*, z, s)$. We have

$$\rho(x^4y^2) = \lambda^{12}, \ \rho(x^7) = \lambda^{14} + \lambda^{12}u^*, \ \rho(zx^2y^2) = \lambda^8 z, \ \rho(sx^3y) = \lambda^8 s,$$

which implies that ρ is surjective. Moreover we have

$$(zsxy + x^7 + \lambda^2x^4y^2 + \lambda^4xy^4)|_U = \lambda^{14} + \lambda^4 zs + \lambda^8 u^{*3} + \cdots,$$

which has an admissible critical point at p. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 7.13. *The family* No. 122 *together with T satisfies* Condition 2.5.

Proof. We can verify Condition 2.5.(1) by the quasi-smoothness criterion [19, Theorem 3.3] in characteristic 0. We see that Condition 3.4 is satisfied by Lemmas 7.11 and 7.12, hence Condition 2.5.(2) follows from Proposition 3.5. □

7.5. **Family No. 19.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^M$ be the family No. 19 consisting of the weighted hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}(1,2,3,3,4)$ of weighted degree 19. We re-order the weight and set $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(1,3,3,4,2)$ and denote by x,y,z,t,w the homogeneous coordinates of weight $1,3,3,4,2$, respectively. We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 2. Let Λ be the union of $\{w^4t\}$ and the set of monomials in variables x,y,z,t of weighted degree 12. We consider weighted hypersurfaces $X \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by an equation of the form

$$w^6 + \delta w^4 t + f(x,y,z,t) = 0,$$

where $\delta \in \Bbbk$. These X are parametrized by $T_{\Bbbk}$, where $T \cong \mathbb{A}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the space parametrizing the polynomials in $\langle \Lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

In the following, we assume that X is general. By re-scaling t, we assume that $\delta = 1$. We define

$$Z = (\bar{w}^3 + \bar{w}^2 t + f_{12} = 0) \subset \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(1,3,3,4,4),$$

where $\bar{w}$ is the coordinate of weight 4 other than t (so that $x,y,z,t,\bar{w}$ are the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}$), and let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be the morphism defined by $\pi^*\bar{w} = w^2$, which is a double cover of Z branched along the divisor $(\bar{w} = 0) \cap Z$.

Lemma 7.14. *Z is quasi-smooth.*

Proof. We see that Z is quasi-smooth along $(\bar{w} \neq 0)$ since

$$\frac{\partial(\bar{w}^3 + \bar{w}^2 t + f_{12})}{\partial \bar{w}} = \bar{w}.$$

Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be the union of $\{\bar{w}^3, \bar{w}^2 t\}$ and the set of monomials in variables x,y,z,t of weighted degree 12, so that Z is a general member of $\mathcal{L}(\overline{\Lambda})$. By Lemma 4.6, it is enough to show that $\overline{\Lambda}$ satisfies $(\dagger)_{I,\mathbb{P}}$ for any I such that $\Pi^*_{I,\mathbb{P}} \subset (\bar{w} = 0)$. The existence of monomials $x^{12}, y^4, z^4, t^3 \in \overline{\Lambda}$ shows that $(\dagger)$ is satisfied for each vertex, i.e., $\Pi^*_x, \Pi^*_y, \Pi^*_z, \Pi^*_t$. For the 1-dimensional strata, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{x^9z, y^4\}}{\partial z}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,y}} = x^9y^4, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{x^9y, z^4\}}{\partial z}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,z}} = x^9z^4, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{x^{12}, t^3\}}{\partial t}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,t}} = x^{12}t^2,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{y^4, z^3y\}}{\partial y}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,z}} = y^4z^3, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{y^4, t^3\}}{\partial z}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,t}} = y^4t^2, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{z^4, t^3\}}{\partial t}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{z,t}} = z^4t^2.$$

Here, for example,

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{x^9z, y^4\}}{\partial z}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,y}} = \det\left(M'_{\{x^9z, y^4\},\{z\}}\right)|_{\Pi^*_{x,y}}$$

and similarly for the others. For 2-dimensional strata, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{z^3y, zx^9, x^{12}\}}{\partial\{y,z\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,y,z}} = z^3x^{15}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{t^3, yx^9, x^{12}\}}{\partial\{y,t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,y,t}} = t^2x^{21},$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{t^3, zx^9, x^{12}\}}{\partial\{z,t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,z,t}} = t^2x^{21}, \left|\frac{\partial\{t^3, yz^3y, y^4\}}{\partial\{y,t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,z,t}} = t^2z^3y^4.$$

Finally, for the 3-dimensional stratum $\Pi^*_{x,y,z,t}$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{t^3, z^3y, zx^9, x^{12}\}}{\partial\{y,z,t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{x,y,z,t}} = t^2z^3x^{21}.$$

Thus Z is quasi-smooth. □

We set $Z^\circ = Z \cap \mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}=1} = Z \cap (x \neq 0)$ and $X^\circ = \pi^{-1}(Z^\circ) = X \cap (x \neq 0)$.

Lemma 7.15. *X has only isolated cyclic quotient singularities along $X \setminus X^\circ$.*

Proof. We claim that X is quasi-smooth along $X \cap (w = 0)$. We denote by $\mathrm{NQsm}(X)$ and $\mathrm{NQsm}(Z)$ the non-quasi-smooth loci of X and Z, respectively. Then it is easy to check that

$$\mathrm{NQsm}(X) \cap (w = 0) = \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{NQsm}(Z)),$$

which proves our claim since $\mathrm{NQsm}(Z) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 7.14.

Note that $X \setminus X^\circ = X \cap (x = 0)$. We need to show that X is quasi-smooth along $X \cap (x = 0) \cap (w \neq 0)$. By Lemma 4.6, it is enough to show that $\Lambda \cup \{w^6\}$ satisfies the condition $(\dagger)_{I,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ for any I such that x vanishes along $\Pi^*_{I,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ but w does not. Specifically, it is enogh to check $(\dagger)$ for the strata

$$\Pi^*_w, \Pi^*_{y,w}, \Pi^*_{z,w}, \Pi^*_{t,w}, \Pi^*_{y,z,w}, \Pi^*_{y,t,w}, \Pi^*_{z,t,w}, \Pi^*_{y,z,t,w}.$$

It is clear that $(\dagger)$ is satisfied for the 0-dimensional stratum Π^*_w since $w^6 \in \Lambda \cup \{w^6\}$. For 1-dimensional strata, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{w^6, y^3z\}}{\partial z}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,w}} = w^6y^3, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{w^6, z^3y\}}{\partial y}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{z,w}} = w^6z^3, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{w^6, t^3\}}{\partial t}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{t,w}} = w^6t^2.$$

For 2-dimensional strata, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{w^4t, y^4, y^3z\}}{\partial\{z, t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,z,w}} = w^4y^7,$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{w^6, t^3, zy^3\}}{\partial\{z, t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,t,w}} = w^6t^2y^3, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\{w^6, t^3, z^3y\}}{\partial\{y, t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{z,t,w}} = w^6t^2z^3$$

Finally, for the 3-dimensional stratum $\Pi^*_{y,z,t,w}$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\{w^6, t^3, z^3y, t^2zx\}}{\partial\{x, y, t\}}\right|'_{\Pi^*_{y,z,t,w}} = w^6t^4z^4.$$

Therefore X is quasismooth along $X \setminus X^\circ$. □

Lemma 7.16. *The section $\bar{w} \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(4))$ has only admissible critical points on Z°.*

Proof. For $g \in \langle\Lambda\rangle_{\Bbbk}$, let Z_g be the weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}$ defined by $\bar{w}^3 + \bar{w}^2t + g = 0$ (so that we have $Z = Z_f$). We see that, for a point $\mathsf{p} \in (\bar{w} = 0) \cap Z_g$, the section $\bar{w}$ can be chosen as a part of local coordinates of Z_g at p, so that $\bar{w}$ does not have a critical point at any point of $\mathsf{p} \in Z_g \cap (\bar{w} = 0)$.

We set $U = (\bar{w} \neq 0) \cap \mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}=1} \subset \mathbb{P}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the affine space parametrizing the homogeneous polynomials in variables x, y, z, t of weighted degree 19 and define

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}} = \{\, (g, \mathsf{p}) \mid \bar{w} \text{ has a critical point at } \mathsf{p} \in Z_g \,\} \subset \mathcal{F} \times U,$$
$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{na}} = \{\, (g, \mathsf{p}) \mid \bar{w} \text{ has a non-admissible critical point at } \mathsf{p} \in Z_g \,\} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}.$$

Let $\mathsf{p} \in U$ be a point. We will compute the dimension of the fibers $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{na}}_{\mathsf{p}}$ over p of the projections $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}} \to U$ and $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{na}} \to U$, respectively. Since $\mathsf{p} \in U \subset \mathbb{P}^\circ_{\mathrm{wt}=1}$

and $\mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{wt}=1} = (x \neq 0)$, the section x does not vanish at p and thus we may assume $\mathsf{p} = (1{:}\lambda{:}0{:}0{:}\mu)$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \Bbbk$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ after replacing y and z.

By setting $x = 1$, we think of U as an open subset $(\bar{w} \neq 0)$ of the affine space $\mathbb{A}^4$ with coordinates $\bar{w}, y, z, t$. The point p corresponds to $(\lambda, 0, 0, \mu) \in \mathbb{A}^4$. We see that $Z_g \cap U$ is defined by $\bar{w}^3 + \bar{w}^2 t + g(1, y, z, t) = 0$. We set $t^* = t - \mu$ and write $g(1, y, z, t) = \alpha + g_1 + g_2 + \cdots$, where $g_i = g_i(y, z, t^*)$ is homogeneous of degree i. Note that y, z, t^* can be chosen as local coordinates of Z_g at p. Passing to the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z_g,\mathsf{p}} \cong \Bbbk[[y, z, t^*]]$, we think of $\bar{w} = \bar{w}(y, z, t^*)$ as a formal power series in y, z, t^*. We write $\bar{w} = \lambda + h_1 + h_2 + \cdots$, where $h_i = h_i(y, z, t^*)$ is homogeneous of degree i. By looking at the constant terms and the degree 1 terms in the equation $\bar{w}^3 + \bar{w}^2 t + \alpha + g_1 + g_2 + \cdots = 0$, we have the relations:

$$\alpha = \lambda^3 + \lambda^2\mu, \ \ h_1 = t^* + \lambda^{-2} g_1.$$

Note that $\alpha = \lambda^3 + \lambda^2\mu$ is equivalent to the condition $\mathsf{p} \in Z_g$. The section $\bar{w}$ has a critical point if and only if $h_1 = 0$, that is, $t^* + \lambda^{-2} g_1 = 0$. This implies that 4 conditions are imposed in order for (g, p) to be contained in $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$, that is, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$ is of codimension 4 in $\mathcal{F} \times \{\mathsf{p}\}$.

In the following, we keep the above setting and we assume that $(g, \mathsf{p}) \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$ and we will show that $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{na}}_{\mathsf{p}} \neq \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$. Now we have $\alpha = \lambda^3 + \lambda^2\mu$ and $h_1 = t^* + \lambda^{-2} g_1 = 0$. By looking at the degree 2 and 3 terms in the defining equation of $Z_g \cap U$, we have

$$h_2 = \lambda^{-2} g_2, \ \ h_3 = \lambda^{-2} g_3,$$

that is,

$$\bar{w} = \lambda + \lambda^{-2} g_2 + \lambda^{-3} g_3 + \cdots.$$

We explicitly construct g as follows:

$$g = \lambda x^{19} + \lambda^2 (t - \mu x^4) x^{15} + \lambda^2 (yz x^{13} + \lambda^2 (t - \mu x^4)^2 x^{11}) + (t - \mu x^4)^3 x^7 + \cdots,$$

For the above g, we have $g_1 = \lambda^2 t^*$, $g_2 = \lambda^2 (yz + t^{*2})$ and $g_3 = \lambda^2 (t^{*3} + \cdots)$, so that $\bar{w}$ has an admissible critical point at p. This shows that $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{na}}_{\mathsf{p}} \neq \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{cr}}_{\mathsf{p}}$. Therefore, since $\dim U = 4$, we conclude the section $\bar{w}$ has only admissible critical point on $Z^{\circ} = Z \cap U$ for a general f. □

Proposition 7.17. *The family* No. 19 *together with* T *satisfies* Condition 2.5.

Proof. We can verify Condition 2.5.(1) by the quasi-smoothness criterion [19, Theorem 3.3] in characteristic 0. We see that Condition 3.4 is satisfied by Lemmas 7.14, 7.15 and 7.16, hence Condition 2.5.(2) follows from Proposition 3.5. □

Now Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow from Propositions 6.2, 7.4, 7.7, 7.10, 7.13 and 7.17.

8. Example of non-rational Fano 3-folds and absolute complexity

We recall the rationality criterion in terms of absolute complexity given in [4].

Definition 8.1 ([4, Definition 1.7])**.** Let X be a proper variety of dimension n and let (X, Δ) be a log pair. The *absolute complexity* $\gamma = \gamma(X, \Delta)$ of (X, Δ) is $n + \rho - d$, where ρ is the rank of the group of Weil divisors modulo algebraic equivalence and d is the sum of the coefficients of Δ.

Theorem 8.2 ([4, Theorem 1.8])**.** *Let X be a proper variety. Suppose that (X, Δ) is log canonical and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef.*

If $\gamma(X, \Delta) < 3/2$, then there is a proper finite morphism $Y \to X$ of degree at most two, which is étale outside a closed subset of codimension at least two, such that Y is rational.

In particular if $A_{n-1}(X)$ contains no 2-torsion then X is rational.

In [4], various examples are provided in order to show that the above criterion is sharp in many aspects (e.g. we cannot drop log canonicity of (X, Δ), nef-ness of $-(K_X + \Delta)$, or the non-existence of 2-torsion of $A_{n-1}(X)$, etc.). However, no example is provided to show that the inequality $\gamma < 3/2$ is sharp. The aim of this section is to show that we cannot relax the inequality $\gamma < 3/2$ in Theorem 8.2 at least in dimension 3.

Let $X = X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2, 3)$ be a very general weighted hypersurfaces of degree 6 defined over $\mathbb{C}$. We see that the singular locus of X consist of 3 points $\mathsf{p}_1, \mathsf{p}_2, \mathsf{p}_3$ of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$. Let H_1, H_2 be general members of the pencil $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ and D a general member of $|\mathcal{O}_X(2)|$. Since X is (very) general, we can assume the following.

(1) D is smooth and it does not pass through $\mathsf{p}_1, \mathsf{p}_2, \mathsf{p}_3$.
(2) H_i has a du Val singularity of type A_1 at $\mathsf{p}_1, \mathsf{p}_2, \mathsf{p}_3$ and smooth elsewhere.
(3) The scheme-theoretic intersections $H_1 \cap H_2$, $H_1 \cap D$ and $H_2 \cap D$ are nonsingular curves.

We set $\Delta = H_1 + H_2 + \frac{1}{2}D$.

Lemma 8.3. *The pair (X, Δ) is log canonical, $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and $\gamma(X, \Delta) = 3/2$.*

Proof. It is clear that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Since X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and is of Picard number 1, we have $\gamma(X, \Delta) = 3 + 1 - (1 + 1 + 1/2) = 3/2$.

It remains to show that (X, Δ) is log canonical. Let $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ be the blowup of X at the points $\mathsf{p}_1, \mathsf{p}_2, \mathsf{p}_3$ and $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ the exceptional divisor over p_i. We have

$$K_Y + \tilde{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2}(E_1 + E_2 + E_3) = \varphi^*(K_X + \Delta),$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the proper transform of Δ. We observe the following:

- $\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{D}$ are all smooth.
- $\tilde{D} \cap E_i = E_j \cap E_k = \emptyset$ for any i, j, k with $j \neq k$,
- $\tilde{H}_i$ intersects E_k transversally along a line in E_k for $i = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$, and
- $\tilde{H}_1 \cap \tilde{H}_2 \cap E_k$ is a point for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

This means that $\tilde{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2}(E_1 + E_2 + E_3)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, and thus (X, Δ) is log canonical. □

By the main result of this paper, X is not (stably) rational and $A_{n-1}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ has no torsion (see Remark 4.2). Thus the rationality criterion [4, Theorem 1.8] in terms of the absolute complexity is sharp, that is, the condition $\gamma(X, \Delta) < 3/2$ cannot be weakened.

References

[1] A. Beauville, A very general sextic double solid is not stably rational, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **48** (2016), no. 2, 321–324.
[2] G. Brown and K. Suzuki, Computing certain Fano 3-folds, Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math. **24** (2007), 241–250.

[3] G. Brown and K. Suzuki, Fano 3-folds with divisible anticanonical class, Manuscripta Math. **123** (2007), 37–51.
[4] M. Brown, J. M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan, R. Svaldi and H. R. Zong, A geometric characterization of toric varieties, arXiv:1605.08911.
[5] I. Cheltsov and J. Park, Birationally rigid Fano threefold hypersurfaces, arXiv:1309.0903, to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
[6] H. Clemens and P. Griffiths, The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281–356.
[7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A. Pirutka, Hypersurfaces quartiques de dimension 3: non rationalité stable, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **49** (2016), no. 2, 371–397.
[8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A. Pirutka, Cyclic covers that are not stably rational, arXiv:1506.00420, to appear in Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Math.
[9] A. Corti, A. Pukhlikov and M. Reid, Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces, *Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2000), 175–258.
[10] D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **124**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011, xxiv+841 pp.
[11] V. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33:2 (1978), 85–134 = Russian Math. Surveys 33:2 (1978), 97–154.
[12] R. M. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 74, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973, viii+148pp.
[13] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel, On stable rationality of Fano threefolds and del Pezzo fibration, arXiv:1601.07074.
[14] A. R. Iano-Fletcher, Working with weighted complete intersections, *Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2000), 101–173.
[15] V. A. Iskovskikh and Ju. I. Manin, Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem, Math. USSR-Sb. **15** (1971), 815–868.
[16] J. Kollár, Nonrational hypersurfaces, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), no. 1, 241–249.
[17] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Springer Verlag, Ergebnisse der Math. vol. **32** (1996).
[18] T. Okada, Nonrational weighted hypersurfaces, Nagoya Math. J. **194** (2009), 1–32.
[19] T. Okada, $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds with three birational Mori fiber structures, arXiv:1405.2415, to appear in Adv. Stud. Pure Math.
[20] T. Okada, Stable rationality of cyclic covers of projective spaces, arXiv:1604.08417.
[21] B. Totaro, Hypersurfaces that are not stably rational, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (2016), no. 3, 883–891.
[22] C. Vial, Algebraic cycles and fibrations, Documenta Math. **18** (2013), 1521–1553.
[23] C. Voisin, Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycles, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 1, 207–237.
[24] K. Watanabe, Some remarks concerning Demazure's construction of normal graded rings, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 203–211.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering
Saga University, Saga 840-8502 Japan
E-mail address: okada@cc.saga-u.ac.jp